## Bachelorarbeit zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades Bachelor of Science (B.Sc.) im Fach Systembiologie der Leber

# Computational modelling of omeprazole - pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics

Vorgelegt von:

Name: Balci, Sükrü Geburtsdatum/-ort: 14.11.1995, Berlin Matrikelnummer: 575480

Betreuer: Dr. Matthias König Erstprüfer: Dr. Matthias König Zweitprüfer: Prof. Dr. Hanspeter Herzel

Berlin, den 19.10.2021

Abstract Zusammenfassung	3 3
<ul> <li>1 Introduction <ol> <li>1.1 Omeprazole</li> <li>1.2 Metabolism</li> <li>1.3 Pharmacokinetics</li> <li>1.4 Pharmacodynamics</li> <li>1.5 Multiple dosing and pH dependence of absorption</li> <li>1.6 Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model (PK/PD)</li> <li>1.7 Question, scope and hypothesis</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	4 4 6 6 7 8
<ul> <li>2 Methods</li> <li>2.1 Pharmacokinetic parameters</li> <li>2.2 Curation of pharmacokinetics data</li> <li>2.3 Physiological-based pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics model (PBPK/PD)</li> <li>2.4 Parameter fitting</li> </ul>	9 9 10 10 11
<ul> <li>3 Results</li> <li>3.1 Omeprazole data</li> <li>3.2 Computational model</li> <li>3.2.1 Whole-body model</li> <li>3.2.2 Liver model</li> <li>3.2.3 Kidney model</li> <li>3.2.4 Stomach model</li> <li>3.2.5 Omeprazole absorption</li> <li>3.3 Parameter fitting</li> <li>3.4 Model performance</li> <li>3.4.1. Model performance single dose</li> <li>3.4.2. Model performance multiple dose</li> <li>3.5 Model application</li> <li>3.5.1 Oral vs. intravenous application</li> <li>3.5.2 Dose potentiation in repeated dosing</li> <li>3.5.3 Changes in stomach pH depending on dose and application form</li> <li>3.6 Summary</li> </ul>	12 12 12 15 15 15 16 17 20 20 23 25 25 26 28 29
<ul> <li>4 Discussion</li> <li>4.1 Data</li> <li>4.2 Model validation</li> <li>4.3 Model simplifications and assumptions</li> <li>4.4 Future direction of research</li> </ul>	30 30 30 31 32
5 References	33
6 Supplement	36

#### Abstract

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are one of the most commonly used drugs whose main action is to inhibit the formation of gastric acid. Among the PPIs, omeprazole is one of the most important and most prescribed. Omeprazole is rapidly absorbed by the stomach and has a short half-life of around 1-2 hours in plasma. Omeprazole reduces gastric acid secretion via non-competitive selective inhibition of the H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPases in the gastric parietal cells, resulting in an increase in stomach pH. After cessation of omeprazole treatment, it takes a few days until the gastric acid secretion returns to baseline due to protein turnover of the proton pumps. Repeated dosing with omeprazole results in a dose-potentiation due to an increased absorption of omeprazole with increasing pH.

Within this thesis, the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of omeprazole were studied using a computational modeling approach. Based on extensive curation of clinical data of omeprazole a physiological-based pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics model of omeprazole and its effect on stomach pH was developed. The model allowed to simulate the pharmacokinetics of omeprazole under varying doses and treatment regimens and to study the resulting inhibition of the proton pumps and changes in stomach pH. Key questions studied in this thesis were (i) how gastric acid output and pH change with omeprazole dosing and treatment regime, and ii) what the effects of repeated dosing on omeprazole absorption and pH are.

#### Zusammenfassung

Protonenpumpeninhibitoren (PPI) gehören zu den am häufigsten verwendeten Medikamenten, deren Hauptwirkung darin besteht, die Bildung von Magensäure zu hemmen. Unter den PPIs ist Omeprazol eines der wichtigsten und am häufigsten verschriebenen Medikamente. Omeprazol wird schnell vom Magen absorbiert und hat eine kurze Halbwertszeit von etwa 1-2 Stunden im Plasma. Omeprazol reduziert die Magensäuresekretion durch nicht-kompetitive selektive Hemmung der H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPasen in den parietalen Zellen des Magens, was zu einem Anstieg des pH-Wertes im Magen führt. Nach Beendigung der Omeprazol-Behandlung dauert es einige Tage, bis die Magensäuresekretion aufgrund des Proteinumsatzes der Protonenpumpen wieder den Ausgangswert erreicht. Die wiederholte Verabreichung von Omeprazol führt zu einer Dosispotenzierung, da die Absorption von Omeprazol mit steigendem pH-Wert zunimmt.

Im Rahmen dieser Arbeit wurden die Pharmakokinetik und Pharmakodynamik von Omeprazol mit Hilfe eines computergestützten Modellierungsansatzes untersucht. Auf der Grundlage einer umfangreichen Kuration klinischer Daten zu Omeprazol wurde ein physiologisch basiertes Pharmakokinetik-/Pharmakodynamikmodell für Omeprazol und seine Wirkung auf den MagenpH-Wert entwickelt. Das Modell ermöglichte es, die Pharmakokinetik von Omeprazol unter verschiedenen Dosierungen und Behandlungsregimen zu simulieren und die sich daraus ergebende Hemmung der Protonenpumpen und Veränderungen des Magen-pH zu untersuchen. Die wichtigsten Fragen, die in dieser Arbeit untersucht wurden, waren (i) wie sich die Magensäureproduktion und der pH-Wert mit der Omeprazol-Dosierung und dem Behandlungsregime verändern, und (ii) welche Auswirkungen wiederholte Dosierungen auf die Omeprazol-Resorption und den pH-Wert haben.

#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Omeprazole

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are drugs that inhibit the formation of gastric acid by inhibiting the H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase. Six PPIs exist which are FDA-approved for clinical use: dexlansoprazole, lansoprazole, pantoprazole, esomeprazole, rabeprazole, and omeprazole. Omeprazole was the first PPI on the market and was released in 1989 in the US market [39].

Since the introduction of PPIs in the 1990 many operations related to stomach or duodenal ulcers are no longer necessary due to medication with PPI drugs. PPIs are commonly applied in the treatment of diseases such as Zollinger-Ellison syndrome or gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). Zollinger-Ellison syndrome is caused by tumors in the duodenum or pancreas. These neuroendocrine tumors increase the production of the hormone gastrin, which stimulates the production of stomach acid, which leads to over-acidification of the stomach [17]. Another disease that can be treated with PPIs is GERD. GERD is based in the vast majority of cases on a malfunction of the esophageal sphincter, a muscle that lies between the junction of the stomach and the esophagus. In case of dysfunction of the esophageal sphincter, stomach acid can pass into the esophagus because the passage of the connecting piece is not closed. The most common symptoms of GERD include heartburn, chest pain, and the development of Barrett's esophagus, which ultimately leads to a precursor of cancer [8].

In 1960 the pharmaceutical company Hässle started searching for a drug that inhibits gastric acid secretion. The research revealed an antisecretory compound that was effective in rats, but not in humans. Research in the 1970 showed that the last step before gastric acid production was connected to the newly discovered H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activation. This was followed by the finding that substituted benzimidazole inhibitors of the H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase could be used to inhibit gastric acid secretion [30]. Omeprazole is a substituted benzimidazole (see **Figure 1)**, which reduces gastric acid secretion by selective and non-competitive inhibition of H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPases in the parietal cells in gastric cell membrane [29].



Figure 1: Chemical structure of omeprazole (inchikey: SUBDBMMJDZJVOS-UHFFFAOYSA-N).

#### 1.2 Metabolism

After oral administration of omeprazole (PubChem:<u>4594</u>), it is mainly metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes in the liver (see **Figure 2**). The cytochrome isoforms CYP2C19 (UniProt:<u>P33261</u> and CYP3A4 (UniProt:<u>P08684</u>) are responsible for the biotransformation of omeprazole into the two primary metabolites, 5-hydroxy omeprazole (PubChem:<u>119560</u>) and omeprazole sulfone (PubChem:<u>145900</u>). This can be followed by conversion into the end product

5-hydroxy omeprazole sulfone (PubChem:<u>71587531</u>) via the same enzymes [31]. Studies have shown that people with a low activity of the CYP2C19 enzyme have an increased omeprazole effectiveness, i.e., a stronger reduction in stomach pH [31]. In contrast, individuals with increased CYP2C19 activity have a faster metabolization of omeprazole, resulting in a loss of effectiveness. An increased dose of omeprazole is necessary in such individuals [9]. According to in vivo experiments, CYP2C19 accounts for a metabolism of around 70% of S-omeprazole and around 90% of R-omeprazole [1].





#### 1.3 Pharmacokinetics

After oral ingestion of omeprazole, it is absorbed very rapidly resulting in an inhibition of approximately 80-95% of gastric activity. After oral ingestion, only 50-70% of the omeprazole dose reaches the systemic circulation (bioavailability), indicating an extensive first-pass metabolism [6]. Omeprazole can be degraded under low pH values as occurring in the stomach. Due to its low stability, omeprazole is very often administered in the form of an enteric-coated capsule to ensure that as much of the drug as possible arrives intact in the intestine [34]. Alternatively, omeprazole is taken in in combination with a base which increases stomach pH and reduces pH-dependent degradation of omeprazole. When omeprazole is taken orally, a correlation exists between the dose and bioavailability, i.e.,with increasing dose, the systemic availability value increases [3]. In contrast to oral application, an intravenous dose is directly available in the systemic circulation after injection and is not affected by degradation in the stomach.

Omeprazole is mainly excreted in the kidney with ~80% of an omeprazole dose being excreted in the urine and the remainder in the feces as omeprazole metabolites. No unchanged omeprazole appears in feces or urine [6]. Omeprazole is almost completely converted into its two main metabolites, hydroxy omeprazole, and omeprazole sulfone in the liver before excretion [6].

Omeprazole has a high degree of clearance, typical clearance values are 30 L/hr [4]. The half-time  $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$  of omeprazole is very fast with around 1-2 hr and an omeprazole dose is almost completely removed from the blood plasma after 3-4 hours. The volume of distribution V<sub>d</sub> is around 0.3 l/kg [4].

#### 1.4 Pharmacodynamics

Omeprazole reduces gastric acid secretion by inhibition of H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPases in the parietal cells. After omeprazole application, it is transported via the bloodstream to the gastric lining where it can enter the parietal cells of the gastric mucosa. The parietal cells are responsible for secreting protons via proton pumps (PP) on their cell surface which ultimately result in the low pH of the stomach. Within the parietal cells, which have a low pH, omeprazole (pKa value of 4) is converted into its protonated acid form, which can no longer be transported out of the cells. The sulphenamide groups of omeprazole formed as a result of the protonation can bind covalently to the sulfhydryl groups of the cysteine (Cys 813) of the H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPases and ultimately lead to an irreversible inhibition of the proton pumps via formation of an enzyme-inhibitor complex [25].



**Figure 3: Mechanism of inhibition of proton pumps in the parietal cells by omeprazole**. **Left)** In the active state, the gastric acid cells pump protons via the H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase into the gastric lumen, producing gastric acid which results in an acidification of the stomach. **Right)** After omeprazole enters the gastric acid cells it becomes protonated and inhibits the H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase via irreversible, covalent binding. Due to the inhibition less protons are secreted in the gastric lumen resulting in an increased stomach pH.

PPIs have a relatively short half-life due to continuous turnover via protein synthesis and degradation. An inhibited proton pump is thus inhibited for around 24-72 hours, before it is replaced by a newly synthesized PP [28]. Even though omeprazole is metabolized very quickly, due to the covalent inhibition of the PP its antisecretory effect is retained for up to 72 hours after elimination [4]. As a consequence, the steady-state inhibition of acid secretion is only reached after around three days of omeprazole application. The duration of the proton pump inhibition is independent of the omeprazole concentration, but only depends on the turnover of the PP. On the other hand, the degree of PP inhibition is directly linked to the plasma concentration of omeprazole [6] and a direct correlation between the AUC of omeprazole and intragastric pH has been observed [35].

#### 1.5 Multiple dosing and pH dependence of absorption

Studies have shown that repeated dosing with omeprazole increases the AUC and bioavailability. For instance, Andersson *et al.* demonstrated that the multiple dosing effect increased AUC by more than 50% compared to a single dose [3]. Two possible explanations have been proposed to explain this result.

The first explanation is based on the pH-dependent stability of omeprazole. Because the pH value in the stomach increases after ingestion of an omeprazole dose due to PP inhibition, a smaller

fraction of a subsequent oral omeprazole dose is degraded during the passage through the stomach. Consequently, more omeprazole can reach the intestine and systemic circulation after multiple dosing, resulting in an increase of AUC and bioavailability. In summary, since there is less gastric acid, less omeprazole is eliminated, leading to an increased absorption of the drug and thus an augmentation effect with multiple dosing [12].

A second proposed explanation for this phenomenon is that omeprazole could act as an inhibitor of its own metabolism via CYP2A19 and CYP3A4, resulting in a reduced first-pass effect. However, intravenous doses do not show any increased bioavailability or AUC for doses up to 10 mg when comparing single doses to repeated dosing, making this explanation unlikely. Furthermore, despite the impact of the multiple dosing effect on bioavailability and AUC, no change in the elimination rate can be observed [3, 7] providing additional evidence against the second explanation. On the other hand, Cederberg et al., could show a greatly increased AUC (more than 80%) when comparing a 10 mg IV dose and a 40 mg dose of omeprazole [7]. This result would support the second statement presented above. A possible explanation could be that auto-inhibition of omeprazole metabolism by itself only becomes important at large doses.

#### 1.6 Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model (PK/PD)

Computational models are a unique tool to study complex biological systems *in silico*. Such models allow us to make predictions which can be tested experimentally and allow us to gain insights into underlying mechanisms. One class of such computational models are physiological based pharmacokinetics (PBPK) models which represent the physiology of the human body and allow the simulation of pharmacokinetics time courses and parameters. Such models allow the study of absorption, distribution, metabolization and elimination (ADME) of substances such as omeprazole. Often models describing the pharmacokinetics (PK) are coupled to models describing the pharmacodynamics (PD), i.e., the effect of the drug on the body, resulting in so-called PK/PD models.

#### 1.7 Question, scope and hypothesis

This thesis studied the effect of varying doses and treatment regimes (single dose and multiple doses) on omeprazole metabolism utilizing computational modeling. Specifically, a physiologically-based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model (PBPK/PD) of omeprazole was developed and applied to study the following questions:

- 1. How do gastric acid output and stomach pH change with omeprazole dosing?
- 2. What are the effects of repeated dosing on omeprazole absorption and stomach pH?

Our main hypothesis was:

Existing pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic data can be explained using a PBPK models with the simple assumptions of (i) omeprazole is degraded pH dependent in the stomach; (ii) omeprazole inhibits the H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase irreversibly; and (iii) continuous turnover of the H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase exists.

#### 2 Methods

In this work, the effects of omeprazole were investigated using a physiologically based pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics model. The model was created in a data-driven approach using time courses data of omeprazole from published clinical studies to drive model development. Methods applied were: calculation of pharmacokinetic parameters from time course data (Section 2.1), curation of pharmacokinetic data for model building (Section 2.2), development of a physiological-based pharmacokinetic model (Section 2.3) and parameter fitting of model parameters (Section 2.4).

#### 2.1 Pharmacokinetic parameters

From the plasma concentration time courses of omeprazole pharmacokinetic parameters can be calculated using non-compartmental methods. The most important parameters are:

- C<sub>max</sub> is the maximal measured concentration.
- T<sub>max</sub> is the time that is necessary to reach the maximum concentration.
- Area under the curve (AUC) describes the area under the concentration-time curve
- **Bioavailability F** describes the percentage of an active ingredient that remains unchanged in the bloodstream
- Volume of distribution Vd describes the relative distribution of the drug in the bloodstream and tissue
- Half-life t<sub>1/2</sub> means the half-life in which the drug is reduced by half.
- **Clearance** corresponds to the rate at which a substance is eliminated from the plasma.
- Elimination rate kel describes how quickly the drug is eliminated from the plasma

AUC is calculated from the sum of all trapezoidal areas plus the triangular area at the end of the curve:

$$AUC_{0-t_n} \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \cdot (C_i + C_{i+1})$$

The bioavailability is calculated using the plasma concentrations after an oral and corresponding intravenous dose. The AUC values are required to calculate the absolute bioavailability:

$$F = \frac{AUC_{oral}/dose_{oral}}{AUC_{i,\nu}/dose_{i,\nu}}$$

The volume of distribution is defined as the quotient of the administered dose D of the drug by the plasma concentration c of the drug in the blood:

$$V_d = \frac{D}{c}$$

The half-life can be calculated from the elimination rate  $k_{\mbox{\scriptsize el}}$ 

$$t_{1/2} = \frac{ln(2)}{k_{el}}$$

The clearance can be calculated from the product of the constant elimination rate and the volume of distribution

$$clearance = k_{el} \cdot V_d$$

#### 2.2 Curation of pharmacokinetics data

PK-DB is an open database for curated pharmacokinetic data from clinical studies [10]. In addition to pharmacokinetic data PK-DB allows the storage of pharmacodynamics information (e.g. gastric acid output). Among other things, data from the studies, such as the specifications of the test subjects, including gender, age, body weight, state of health,, are included in PK-DB. In addition to the characteristics of the subjects, the type of intervention and the reported pharmacokinetic data are included.

Within this work, studies containing omeprazole pharmacokinetic data were curated following established guidelines and added to the PK-DB database. This data set of omeprazole pharmacokinetics information was the basis for the development and validation of the PBPK/PD model developed in this work.

А literature research was performed to retrieve studies that include pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic data on omeprazole. Studies were hereby limited to healthy adult human subjects excluding animal data. Most of the studies contained information on i) the studied subjects and groups; ii) the type of intervention (oral or intravenous) and the dosage regime of omeprazole (dose, timing, single vs. multiple application); iii) the study design (substances added, time intervals, type of measurement, and parameters); iv) time curves and tables containing pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic data. Data from tables and figures was extracted and curated in a standardized xlsx file format. As part of the curation, a study JSON file was created for the upload of the collected data to PK-DB. The software "Plot digitizer" was used for digitizing numerical data from figures such as time courses. After completing the steps mentioned above and after a thorough review by a second curator, all curated studies were uploaded to PK-DB.

#### 2.3 Physiological-based pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics model (PBPK/PD)

The PBPK/PD model consists of a system of coupled ordinary differential equations (ODE) which can be solved numerically using ODE solvers. The model is encoded in a standard format for models in systems biology, the so-called System Biology Markup Language (SBML) [14, 15, 16]. The ODE system can be generated from this standard representation. Meta-data such as annotations to biological and computational ontologies and units were added to the model to enable the sharing and reuse of the model. The model was developed in Python using the sbmlutils package [22], which allows to generate the SBML models programmatically. For ODE simulations, the package sbmlsim was used [23], which allows for ODE simulations with different initial conditions, parameter scans and the fitting of parameters. For the efficient solution of the SBML based ODE systems, sbmlsim uses the high-performance SBML solver roadrunner [37]. Model visualization was performed using cy3sbml [24].

#### 2.4 Parameter fitting

Parameter fitting is a method to adapt parameters ( $p_1, ..., p_m$ ) in the model based on experimental data. Parameter fitting is an optimization method which tries to find the best parameters which minimize the cost which depends on the distance between model prediction  $f(x_{i,k})$  and data  $y_{i,k}$ . The distance was measured via the weighted residuals  $res_{i,k} = (y_{i,k} - f(x_{i,k}))$ . Within this work, SciPy's least-squares method was used.

$$cost(p1, ..., pm) = 0.5 \cdot \Sigma (w_k \cdot w_{i,k} \cdot res_{i,k})^2$$

 $res_{i,k}$  is the residue of time i over time k, i.e., the distance between model prediction and data. wi, k is the weighting of the respective data point i over time k based on the error of the data point. wk is the weighting factor of the time course k. An overview over the parameter fitting strategy is provided in the results section with data used in parameter fitting provided in **Table 3**.

#### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Omeprazole data

For this work, a database with omeprazole data was created on the basis of pharmacokinetics. A total of 14 studies were curated as part of this work and uploaded to <u>PK-DB</u>. **Table 1** provides an overview of the curated data, including the core information. In addition to the PMID and the PKDB register number, the application route, dosage, body weight, health condition, information on whether data from the respective study was used for model fitting was used, and a brief description of the respective study are listed.

#### 3.2 Computational model

#### 3.2.1 Whole-body model

computer А physiologically based model was developed investigate the to pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic aspects of omeprazole in Figure 4A, in the following referred to as PBPK/PD model. The model was established using human in vivo data on the pharmacokinetics (omeprazole time courses) and pharmacodynamics (changes in stomach pH and gastric acid output) and in vitro information of kinetic parameters. The model is available as SBML [15, 16] under CC-BY 4.0 license from https://github.com/matthiaskoenig/omeprazolemodel. Within this work version 0.8.0 of the model was used [21]. A human readable model report all equations, parameters and initial conditions is available from documenting https://sbml4humans.de/model url?url=https://raw.githubusercontent.com/matthiaskoenig/omepr azole-model/main/models/omeprazole body flat.xml.

In the PBPK/PD model the human body was represented via multiple compartments corresponding to organs/tissues which are connected via blood flow in the systemic circulation. The whole-body model allows to simulate the absorption and distribution of omeprazole as well as the distribution of omeprazole metabolites. The whole-body model was coupled to tissue models of the liver, kidney, and stomach. The liver submodel describes the hepatic metabolism of omeprazole (**Figure 4B**), the kidney model the renal excretion of omeprazole metabolites (**Figure 4C**), and the stomach model the proton pump inhibition and gastric acid secretion (**Figure 4D**).

Table 1 - Overview of clinical studies curated in this project. The table lists the name of the studies with the corresponding PK-DB identifiers, the PMID, a brief description of the dosing protocol, the application route (oral or intravenous), the health status of the test subjects, the range of body weights of the test subjects, if the data was used in the modelling of omeprazole and a short description.

Study	PKDB	PMID	Protocol	Route	Healthy	Body weight [kg]	Modelling	Description
Andersson1990a [3]	PKDB00518	<u>2253676</u>	Omeprazole: 10, 40, 90 mg	iv, oral	healthy	70-86		The influence of dose on the kinetics of omeprazole and two of its metabolites, hydroxyomeprazole and the sulfone, has been studied
Cederberg1992 [7]	PKDB00519	<u>1499942</u>	Omeprazole: 10, 20, 40 mg	iv, oral	duodenal ulceration	-	$\checkmark$	Nine patients with duodenal ulcer were on separate occasions given omeprazole, 20 mg orally, 10 mg intravenously (IV), and 40 mg IV once daily for 5 days.
Howden1984 [13]	PKDB00379	<u>6468483</u>	Omeprazole: 30, 60 mg	oral	healthy	-		The pharmacokinetics of omeprazole were studied in a group of healthy male subjects after single and repeated oral doses of 30 and 60 mg.
Howden1984a [12]	PKDB00520	<u>6428981</u>	Omeprazole: 30, 60 mg	oral	healthy	-		The effects of omeprazole, a substituted benzimidazole, on gastric acid secretion have been studied in twelve healthy subjects.
Landahl1992 [18]	PKDB00521	<u>1458764</u>	Omeprazole: 20, 40 mg	iv, oral	healthy	58 - 88	$\checkmark$	The pharmacokinetics of omeprazole and its metabolites were studied in 8 healthy elderly volunteers using omeprazole.
Lind1983 [19]	PKDB00522	<u>6832622</u>	Omeprazole: 15, 20, 40, 60, 80 mg	oral	healthy	63 - 88	$\checkmark$	The effect of oral omeprazole on pentagastrin stimulated gastric acid secretion was studied in 11 healthy subjects.
Prichard1985 [32]	PKDB00523	<u>3880557</u>	Omeprazole: 40 mg	oral	healthy	63 - 116	$\checkmark$	Pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic studies of omeprazole were undertaken in 8 healthy subjects.
Regaardh1986 [33]	PKDB00524	<u>3460172</u>	Omeprazole: 10, 20, 40, 90 mg	iv, oral	healthy	-	$\checkmark$	Four studies of the pharmacokinetics and metabolism of omeprazole are briefly discussed.
Regaardh1990 [34]	PKDB00525	<u>2315973</u>	Omeprazole: 10, 20 mg	iv, oral	healthy	74.1 ± 5.1	$\checkmark$	The pharmacokinetic omeprazole, hydroxy omeprazole, omeprazole sulfone, and "remaining metabolites" have been studied in eight young healthy subjects.
Sohn1992 [36]	PKDB00526	<u>1527724</u>	Omeprazole: 20 mg	oral	healthy	55 - 72	$\checkmark$	Disposition kinetics and metabolism of omeprazole in extensive and poor metabolizers of S-Mephenytoin 4-Hydroxylation recruited from an oriental population.
VazdaSilva2001 [40]	PKDB00527	<u>27517550</u>	Omeprazole: 20 mg	oral	healthy	52 - 87	$\checkmark$	Study to investigate the relative bioavailability and bioequivalence of two omeprazole enteric-coated formulations following repeated doses (steady state) in healthy male and female adult volunteers.
VazdaSilva2005 [41]	PKDB00380	<u>17532679</u>	Omeprazole: 20 mg	oral	healthy	49 - 88	$\checkmark$	Study to investigate the relative bioavailability and bioequivalence, in fasting and fed condition, of repeated doses of two omeprazole enteric-coated formulations in healthy volunteers.
Walt1985 [43]	PKDB00528	4029717	Omeprazole: 80 mg	iv, oral	duodenal ulceration	65 - 81	$\checkmark$	The effects of different dosage regimens of intravenous omeprazole was compared with placebo.



**Figure 4 - Overview of developed model. A)** Overview of the physiological-based whole-body model. The heart is the pump that drives blood circulation with the bloodstream connecting the organs and tissues. The two main blood compartments are venous blood and arterial blood. Via the systemic circulation blood is transported between arterial and venous blood compartments supplying and draining the various tissue compartments in the process. The blood flow rates to the organs are Qlu, Qre, Qki, Qha, Qpa, Qsp, and Qin. Omeprazole can be administered either intravenously or orally, with absorption in the stomach and intestine. Omeprazole is metabolized in the liver, converting omeprazole into its inactive intermediates by the main enzymes CYP3A4 and CYP2C19 (omeprazole metabolites), which are ultimately excreted mainly via the kidneys and the urine/feces. **B)** The liver model describes the metabolism of omeprazole in the liver consisting of import, conversion to omeprazole metabolites and subsequent export. **C)** The kidney model describes the renal excretion of omeprazole metabolites

in the urine. **D)** The stomach model contains the equations for the turnover of proton pumps, the inactivation of proton pumps via omeprazole and the proton pump dependent secretion of protons in the stomach.

#### 3.2.2 Liver model

The liver submodel describes the uptake of omeprazole from the plasma (OMEIM), the metabolic conversion to inactive omeprazole metabolites by CYP2C19/CYP3A4 (OMECYP) and the export of the omeprazole metabolites back in the plasma (OMEMETSEX). The import, metabolism and export were modelled via irreversible Michaelis-Menten equations

$$OMEIM = \frac{OMEIM Vmax \cdot Vli \cdot ome_{ext}}{(OMEIM_Km_ome + ome_{ext})}$$
$$OMECYP = \frac{OMECYP Vmax \cdot Vli \cdot ome}{(OMECYP_Km_ome + ome)}$$
$$OMEMETSEX = \frac{OMEMETSEX Vmax \cdot Vli \cdot omemets}{(OMEMETSEX_Km_omemets + omemets)}$$

with Vmax being the maximal velocity, VIi the liver volume and Km the Michaelis-Menten constant, and ome\_ext the omeprazole concentration in plasma. All tissue reactions were scaled by the respective tissue volumes. The following assumptions were made:

(i)omeprazole is only converted to omeprazole metabolites (combination of 5OH-omeprazole, omeprazole sulfone and 5OH-omeprazole sulfone); (ii) the transport and metabolism are irreversible; (iii) The different CYP isoforms were not modeled individually, but a single reaction was implemented. The cytochrome isoforms CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 are responsible for the biotransformation of omeprazole into the two primary metabolites, 5-hydroxy omeprazole and omeprazole sulfone, these were combined in a single process.

#### 3.2.3 Kidney model

The kidney submodel describes the renal excretion of omeprazole metabolites from the plasma via a first order irreversible mass-action kinetics of the form

$$OMEMETSEX_k \cdot V_{ki} \cdot omemets\_ext$$

with k being the rate and Vki the kidney volume.

The following assumptions were made: (i) Only omeprazole metabolites can be excreted in the urine, no omeprazole; (ii) the excretion from plasma to urine is direct, i.e., no processes in the kidneys were modeled.

#### 3.2.4 Stomach model

The stomach model describes the activity of proton pumps (H+/K+ATPase) and the turnover of PP via synthesis and degradation. PP can be irreversibly inhibited via omeprazole. The following assumptions were made: (i) degradation of the active and inhibited PP is identical; (ii) only the active PP can secrete protons in the gastric fluid; (iii) the gastric acid (with protons) is transported in the stomach via gastric acid secretion and changes the stomach pH; (iv) gastric acid can be lost from the stomach to the intestine.

Proton secretion by active PP was modeled via an irreversible kinetic depending on the amount of active PP. A phenomenological equation was implemented resulting in stomach pH changes in line with observed values. pp\_rate = pp\_rate\_k when all proton pumps are active.

$$pp\_rate = pp\_rate\_k \cdot 10^{\frac{-1.1}{pp\_active+0.1}+1}$$

Gastric acid loss was modeled via an irreversible first order kinetic

$$gacid_loss = Q_{acid} \cdot protons\_stomach$$

Stomach pH was calculated from proton concentration in [mM] in the stomach via

 $pH = -log10 \left(\frac{protons\_stomach}{1000mM}\right)$ 

Synthesis of active PP was assumed via a constant rate

pp\_synthesis = pp\_synthesis\_k

Degradation of active and inactive PP was modeled via an irreversible first order kinetic

 $pp\_active\_degradation = pp\_degradation\_k \cdot pp\_active$  $pp\_inactive\_degradation = pp\_degradation\_k \cdot pp\_inactive$ 

Inhibition of PP, i.e. conversion from the active in the inactive state, was modeled via an irreversible mass action kinetics depending on active PP and omeprazole concentration

 $pp_inhibition = ppi_k \cdot pp_active \cdot ome_ext$ 

3.2.5 Omeprazole absorption

Dissolution of orally applied omeprazole in the stomach was modeled via an irreversible first order kinetics

dissolution = Ka\_dis\_ome · PODOSE\_ome

with PODOSE\_ome corresponding to the amount of orally applied omeprazole.

Absorption of dissolved omeprazole omeprazole was modeled via an irreversible first order kinetics

with GUTDOSE\_ome corresponding to the amount of dissolved omeprazole in the intestine.

Multiple explanations have been presented for the increase in AUC and bioavailability after multiple dosing of omeprazole (see Section 1.5). Here we assumed a pH dependent fraction absorbed of omeprazole, i.e., assuming a pH dependent degradation of omeprazole in the stomach.

The change in the oral and gut dose are described via the following differential equations

$$\frac{d (PODose)}{dt} = -dissolution$$
$$\frac{d (GUTDose)}{dt} = F_ome_pH \cdot dissolution - absorption$$

with F\_ome\_pH describing the stomach pH dependent fraction absorbed. I.e. depending on the pH in the stomach either more omeprazole (higher pH) or less omeprazole (lower pH) can reach the gut due to the pH dependent degradation of omeprazole.

The pH dependency of the fraction absorbed F\_ome\_pH was modeled via a sigmoidal function interpolating between 35% absorption at pH=2 and 84% absorption at pH=6 in case of application as solution

$$F\_ome\_pH = F\_ome\_pH2 + (F\_ome\_pH6 - F\_ome\_pH2) \frac{(pH-2)^{F\_ome\_n}}{(pH-2)^{F\_ome\_n} + F\_ome\_KpH^{F\_ome\_n}}$$

When omeprazole was applied orally as hard capsules, which protect omeprazole from the stomach degradation, it was assumed that the complete omeprazole dose could be absorbed, i.e.,

$$F\_ome = 1$$

#### 3.3 Parameter fitting

Time course data of plasma omeprazole and urinary omeprazole metabolites after intravenous and oral application were used for fitting a subset of model parameters. Parameters were determined in a two step procedure. In a first step the following model parameters were determined using all intravenous data:

- LI\_\_OMEIM\_Vmax, maximum rate of omeprazole uptake in the liver
- LI\_\_OMECYP\_Vmax, maximum rate of CYP conversion of omeprazole to omeprazole metabolites in the liver
- LI\_\_OMEMETSEX\_Vmax, maximum rate of omeprazole matabolite export in the liver
- **KI\_\_OMEMETSEX\_k**, rate at which the omeprazole metabolites are excreted in the urine.

In a second step the dissolution and absorption rates were fitted individually for the studies with oral omeprazole application to account for the large interstudy variability in absorption kinetics due to different omeprazole formulations and different study populations. I.e., for every study with oral omeprazole a single combination of the following parameters was fitted:

- Ka\_dis\_ome, rate at which orally administered omeprazole is dissolved.
- Ka\_abs\_ome, rate at which orally administered omeprazole is absorbed

The complete set of model parameters is provided in **Table 2**. An overview over the used data including application type (single or multiple dose), application route (IV or PO), application form, tissue and dose are provided in **Table 3**. If not mentioned otherwise Ka\_dis\_ome=2 [1/hr] and Ka\_abs\_ome = 2 [1/hr] were used for model simulations.

Table 2. Overview of main model parameters. The table contains the name of the parameter in the model, a short description of the parameter, the value of the parameter, the unit, and which parameters were fitted.

BW         Body weight         75         kg         -           HEIGHT         Height         1.70         m         -           CODM         Cardiac output per bodyweight         1.548         ml         -           FUG1         Hematocrit         0.51         -         -           FUG2         Gut fractional tissue volume         0.0044         1         -           FUG1         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.0076         1         -           FV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.0076         1         -           FV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.0076         1         -           FV12         Spleen fractional tissue volume         0.001         1         -           FV19         Spleen fractional tissue volume         0.01         1         -           FV19         Pancreas fractional tissue volume         0.01         1         -           FV19         Spleen fractional tissue volume         0.01         1         -           FV19         Fraction unbound in plasma oneme         1         -         -           FV19         Fraction unbound in plasma onemests         1         -         - <tr< th=""><th>Parameter</th><th>Description</th><th>Value</th><th>Unit</th><th>Fitted</th></tr<>	Parameter	Description	Value	Unit	Fitted
HEIGHT         Height         1.70         m         -           COSH         Cardiac output per bodyweight         1.548         ml         -           FYGU         Gut fractional tissue volume         0.0171         1         -         -           FYGU         Gut fractional tissue volume         0.021         1         -         -           FV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.021         1         -         -           FV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.026         1         -         -           FV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.0076         1         -         -           FV12         Ling fractional tissue volume         0.0026         1         -         -           FV203         Spleen fractional tissue volume         0.011         1         -         -           FV204         Pancreas fractional tissue volume         0.011         1         -         -           FV13         Pancreas fractional tissue volume         0.011         1         -         -           FV14         Fraction unbound in plasma ando one         1         -         -         -           FV15 one         Bloot to plasma ratio	BW	Body weight	75	kg	-
COSN         Cardiac output per bodyweight         1.548         ml s · kg         ml s · kg           INCT         Hematocit         0.51         -         -           PYQu         Gut fractional tissue volume         0.0171         1         -           PYVL         Kidney fractional tissue volume         0.0044         1         -           FV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.021         1         -           FV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.0076         1         -           FV12         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.0076         1         -           FV19         Spleen fractional tissue volume         0.001         1         -           FV19         Pancreas fractional tissue volume         0.01         1         -           FV19         Pancreas fractional tissue volume         0.01         1         -           F1 soue_ome         Fraction unbound in plasma area         0         1         -           Reg         Blood to plasma ratio ome         1         -         -           F1 soue_omemets         Fraction unbound in plasma area         1         -         -           F1 soue_omemets         Fraction unbound in plasma area	HEIGHT	Height	1.70	m	-
NCT         Hematocht         0.51         -         -           FYga         Gut fractional tissue volume         0.0171         1         -           FYga         Gut fractional tissue volume         0.0044         1         -           FY11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.00171         1         -           FY11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.0026         1         -           FV11         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.0076         1         -           FV11         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.0076         1         -           FV12         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.001         1         -           FV12         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.01         1         -           FV12         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.01         1         -           Mc <ore< td="">         Molecular weight orne         345.4         -         -           Ft1ssue_ame         Fraction unbound in plasma and to orne         1         -         -           ftup_one         Fraction unbound in plasma ornemets         1         -         -           ftup_one         Fraction unbound in plasma ornemets         1</ore<>	COBW	Cardiac output per bodyweight	1.548	ml	-
INCT         Hematocit         0.51         -         -           TV4:         Gut fractional tissue volume         0.0171         1         -           TV4:         Kidney fractional tissue volume         0.0044         1         -           2V11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.021         1         -           2V11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.021         1         -           2V11         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.0026         1         -           2V2p         Spleen fractional tissue volume         0.001         1         -           #r_one         Molecular weight one         345.4         #         -           #r_one         Blood to plasma ratio one         1         -         -           fup_one         Fraction unbound in plasma one         1         -         -           fup_one         Fraction unbound in plasma one         1         -         -           fup_one         Fraction unbound in plasma one         1         -         -           fup_one         Fraction unbound in plasma one         1         -         -           fup_one         Fraction unbound in plasma onene         1         - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><math>\overline{s \cdot kg}</math></td><td></td></td<>				$\overline{s \cdot kg}$	
EVφu         Gut fractional tissue volume         0.0171         I kg         ·           PVV1         Kidney fractional tissue volume         0.0044         I         ·           EV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.021         I         ·           EV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.021         I         ·           EV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.0076         I         ·           EV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.0026         I         ·           EV12         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.011         II         ·           EV12         Pancreas fractional tissue volume         0.011         II         ·           FV12         Pancreas fractional tissue volume         0.011         II         ·           M1         Tissue distribution ome         0         I         ·         ·           fun         Tissue distribution ome         1         ·         ·         ·           K1_seame         Ilpotion time ome         1         ·         ·         ·           fun_omemets         Molecular weight omemets         1         ·         ·         ·           K1_seamets	HCT	Hematocrit	0.51	-	-
Image: Second	FVqu	Gut fractional tissue volume	0.0171	l	-
FVk1         Kidney fractional tissue volume $0.0044$ $\frac{7}{kg}$ FV11         Liver fractional tissue volume $0.021$ $\frac{1}{kg}$ $-$ FV1u         Lung fractional tissue volume $0.0076$ $\frac{1}{kg}$ $-$ FV3p         Spleen fractional tissue volume $0.0026$ $\frac{1}{kg}$ $-$ FV3p         Pancreas fractional tissue volume $0.011$ $\frac{1}{kg}$ $-$ FV3p         Pancreas fractional tissue volume $0.011$ $\frac{1}{kg}$ $-$ FV3p         Pancreas fraction unbound in plasma one $1$ $ -$ Ft is sue_one         Fraction unbound in plasma one $1$ $ -$ It is come         Blood to plasma ratio onements $345.4$ $\frac{g}{g}$ $-$ Kr_onee         Blood to plasma ratio onements $1$ $  -$ Kr_onee         Blood to plasma ratio onements $1$ $  -$ Kr_onee         Blood to plasma ratio onements $1$ $  -$ Kr_oneenet         Slood to				$\overline{ka}$	
PV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.021         1/2           FV11         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.021         1/2         -           FV11         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.0076         1/2         -           FV11         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.0026         1/2         -           FV11         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.011         1         -           FV11         Properiod         Properiod         1/2         -           FV11         Properiod         Properiod         1/2         -           FV11         Properiod         Properiod         1/2         -           FV11         Properiod         Firstianal stration one         0.01         1         -           FV11         Properiod         Fraction unbound in plasma one         1         -         -           FV11         Properiod         Properiod         Properiod         -         -           FV11         Properiod         Fraction unbound in plasma one         1         -         -           FV11         Properiod         Properiod         Properiod         -         -           FV11         Properiod         <	FVki	Kidney fractional tissue volume	0.0044	l	-
PV11         Liver fractional tissue volume         0.021         1           PV10         Lung fractional tissue volume         0.0076         1         -           FV3p         Spleen fractional tissue volume         0.0026         1         -           FV3p         Pancreas fractional tissue volume         0.011         1         -           FV10         Tissue distribution ome         0         1         -         -           FV10         One         Fraction unbound in plasma one         1         -         -         -           FV11 seue_oneets         Tissue distribution omemets         0         1         -         -         -           FV1 seue_oneets         Fraction unbound in plasma ratio omemets         1         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -			0.00011	$\overline{ka}$	
FV1uLung fractional tissue volume0.0076 $\frac{1}{kg}$ FV1uLung fractional tissue volume0.0026 $\frac{1}{kg}$ -FVspSpleen fractional tissue volume0.0026 $\frac{1}{kg}$ -FVpaPancreas fractional tissue volume0.01 $\frac{1}{kg}$ -Mr_omeMolecular weight ome345.4 $\frac{9}{mole}$ -Ft1sue_omeTissue distribution ome0 $\frac{1}{kg}$ -Ft1sue_omeFraction unbound in plasma ome1ft1_omeBlood to plasma ratio orige1ft1_omeInjection time ome10s-ft1_omeInjection time ome10s-ft1_omeTissue distribution omenets345.4 $\frac{9}{mole}$ -ft1_omeInjection time ome1ft1_omeTissue distribution omenets0 $\frac{1}{mole}$ -ft1_omeFraction unbound in plasma omenets1ft1_omeFraction unbound in plasma omenets1ft2_onemetsBlood to plasma ratio omenets1ft2_onemetsBlood to plasma ratio omenets1ft1_oneDissolution rate ome21-Regaardh19850.8871/ (PO)Regaardh198510.824/ (PO)ft1_on19850.8893/ (PO)Regaardh198610.724	FVli	Liver fractional tissue volume	0.021	l	_
FV1uLung fractional tissue volume0.0076 $\frac{1}{kg}$ -FVspSpleen fractional tissue volume0.0026 $\frac{1}{kg}$ -FVspPancreas fractional tissue volume0.01 $\frac{1}{kg}$ -Mr_omeMolecular weight ome345.4 $\frac{9}{mole}$ -Mr_omeTissue distribution ome0 $\frac{1}{kg}$ -fup_omeFraction unbound in plasma ome1HP_omeBlood to plasma ratio ome1Mr_omemetsMolecular weight omemets346.4 $\frac{9}{malr}$ -Fissue_onemetsTissue distribution omemets0 $\frac{1}{matr}$ -Mr_omemetsMolecular weight omemets346.4 $\frac{9}{malr}$ -Fissue_onemetsTissue distribution omemets1Mr_omemetsBlood to plasma ratio ome1BP omemetsBlood to plasma ratio oments1Ka_dis_omeDissolution rate ommets1Ka_dis_omeDissolution rate oments1Ka_dis_omeCedentrag18921.75261 $\frac{1}{hr}$ / (PO)Ka_abs_omeAbsorption rate ome21 $\frac{1}{hr}$ / (PO)Ka_abs_omeAbsorption rate ome21 $\frac{1}{hr}$ / (PO)Ka_abs_omeAbsorption rate ome21 $\frac{1}{hr}$ / (PO)Ka_abs_omeAbsorption rate ome2Ka_abs_omeTissue d			0.021	$\frac{1}{ka}$	
LongLong Hadrinan disse volume0.0026 $\frac{1}{kg}$ -FVspSpleen fractional tissue volume0.0026 $\frac{1}{kg}$ -FVpaPanceas fractional tissue volume0.01 $\frac{1}{kg}$ -Mr_omeMolecular weight ome345.4 $\frac{g}{mole}$ -Ttissue_comeTissue distribution ome0 $\frac{1}{kg}$ -Ft1sue_comeFraction unbound in plasma ome1Tup_omePraction unbound in plasma one1Tup_omeBlood to plasma ratio orige1Tup_omeInjection time ome10s-Tup_omemetsMolecular weight omemets345.4 $\frac{g}{g}$ -Tup_omemetsFraction unbound in plasma omemets1Tup_omemetsFraction unbound in plasma omemets1Tup_omemetsBlood to plasma ratio omemets1Ra_dis_omeDissolution rate ome21-Regaardn19850.8871/ (PO)-/ (PO)VazdaSilva20051.2731- (PO)VazdaSilva20051.2731- (PO)-/ (PO)VazdaSilva20011.2356VazdaSilva20011.2356VazdaSilva20011.2356VazdaSilva20011.2356VazdaSilva20011.2356VazdaSilva2001 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Lung fractional tissue volume</td><td>0.0076</td><td>ку 1</td><td></td></t<>		Lung fractional tissue volume	0.0076	ку 1	
FVsp         Spleen fractional tissue volume         0.0026         1/kg         -           FVpa         Pancreas fractional tissue volume         0.01         1         -           Mr_ome         Molecular weight ome         345.4         9         -           Mr_ome         Tissue distribution ome         0         1         -           Ftissue_ome         Tissue distribution ome         1         -         -           Brome         Blood to plasma ratio ome         1         -         -           Mr_omemets         Molecular weight omemets         345.4         9         -           Mr_omemets         Blood to plasma ratio ome         1         -         -           Mr_omemets         Tissue distribution omemets         0         1         -           Mr_omemets         Tissue distribution omemets         1         -         -           Mr_omemets         Blood to plasma ratio omets         1         -         -           Mr_omemets         Blood to plasma ratio omets         1         -         -           Mr_omemets         Blood to plasma ratio omets         1         -         -           Ka_dia_ome         Dissolution rate omets         1         -	rviu		0.0070	$\frac{\iota}{l_{ra}}$	-
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	EV(cp)	Splace fractional tissue valume	0.0026	KY 1	
FVpa         Pancreas fractional tissue volume         0.01         I         -           Mr_ome         Molecular weight ome         345.4         9         -           The procession on the plasma ome         0         1         -         -           Ftissue_ome         Fraction unbound in plasma ome         1         -         -           The procession         Blood to plasma ratio ome         1         -         -           The procession         Blood to plasma ratio ome         1         -         -           The procession of the plasma ratio ome         1         -         -         -           Mr_onemets         Molecular weight omemets         345.4         9         -         -           Prissue_omemets         Tissue distribution omemets         0         1         -         -           Prissue_omemets         Traction unbound in plasma omemets         1         -         -         -           Blood to plasma ratio omemets         1         -         -         -         -           Ka_dis_ome         Dissolution rate orme         2         1         hr         -           Ka_dis_ome         Dissolution rate orme         2         1         hr         / (PO)<	rvsp	Spieen nactional tissue volume	0.0026	$\frac{l}{l}$	-
FypaPancreas fractional tissue volume0.01 $\frac{1}{kg}$ Nr_oneMolecular weight ome345.4 $\frac{\theta}{male}$ Ptissue_oneTissue distribution ome0 $\frac{1}{min}$ fup_oneFraction unbound in plasma ome1-fup_oneBlood to plasma ratio ome1-ti_oneBlood to plasma ratio ome1-ti_oneInjection time one10sr_oneMolecular weight omemets345.4 $\frac{\theta}{male}$ r_ingTissue distribution omemets0 $\frac{1}{min}$ Ftissue_onemetsTrasue distribution omemets1-fup_onemetsFraction unbound in plasma omemets1-fup_onemetsBlood to plasma ratio onemets1-sta_dis_oneDissolution rate ome2 $\frac{1}{min}$ $\sqrt{(PO)}$ Landahl199211.7526 $\frac{1}{hr}$ $\sqrt{(PO)}$ Nadasitya200110.840 $\sqrt{(PO)}$ $\sqrt{(PO)}$ VariadSilva200512.2731 $\sqrt{(PO)}$ VariadSilva200512.731 $\sqrt{(PO)}$ VariadSilva200510.524 $\sqrt{(PO)}$ VariadSilva200510.524 $\sqrt{(PO)}$ VariadSilva200510.524 $\sqrt{(PO)}$ VariadSilva200512.731 $\sqrt{(PO)}$ VariadSilva200510.524 $\sqrt{(PO)}$ VariadSilva200510.524 $\sqrt{(PO)}$ VariadSilva200510.526 $\sqrt{(PO)}$ VariadSilva200110.580 $\sqrt{(PO)}$ VariadSilva200110.580 $\sqrt{(PO)}$	T377	Describer fronting of the second second	0.01	kg	
Mr_one         Molecular weight ome         345.4 <i>g</i> male <i>mole</i> Ftissue_ome         Tissue distribution ome         0         1         -           BP_ome         Blood to plasm ratio ome         1         -         -           BP_ome         Blood to plasm ratio ome         1         -         -           Itige         Mit_omemets         345.4 <i>g</i> -           Mr_omemets         Molecular weight omemets         345.4 <i>g</i> -           Ftissue_omemets         Tissue distribution omemets         0         1         -           Ftissue_omemets         Fraction unbound in plasma omemets         1         -         -           BP_omemets         Fraction unbound in plasma omemets         1         -         -           Regardh1982         1.7526         1         -         -           Lind1983         4.3381         √(PO)         -         -         -           Regardh1986         10.824         √(PO)         -         -         -           VardaSilva2001         1.0540         √(PO)         -         -         -           VardaSilva2005         1.2731         √(PO)         - <t< td=""><td>FVpa</td><td>Pancreas fractional tissue volume</td><td>0.01</td><td></td><td>-</td></t<>	FVpa	Pancreas fractional tissue volume	0.01		-
Mr_ome         Molecular weight ome         345.4 $\frac{g}{male}$ -           Ftissue_ome         Tissue distribution ome         0 $l_{\rm min}$ -           fup_ome         Fraction unbound in plasma ome         1         -         -           fup_ome         Blood to plasma ratio ome         1         -         -           tione         Injection time ome         10         s         -           tione         Molecular weight omenets         345.4 $\frac{g}{male}$ -           tione         Injection time ome         0 $\frac{l}{l_{\rm min}}$ -           fup_omemets         Tissue distribution omemets         0 $\frac{l}{male}$ -           fup_omemets         Blood to plasma ratio omemets         1         -         -           Ka_dis_ome         Olssolution rate ome         2         1         ////rita           Ka_dis_ome         Dissolution rate ome         2         1         ///rita           Ka_dis_ome         Absorption rate ome         2         1         ///rita           Ka_dis_ome         Absorption rate ome         2         1         //rita//rita           Ka_abs_ome         Absorption rate ome         2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>kg</td> <td></td>				kg	
Ftissue_ome         Tissue distribution ome         0         1	Mr_ome	Molecular weight ome	345.4	<u> </u>	-
F125308_OME         Insule distribution Ome         0         1         -           fup_ome         Fraction unbound in plasma ome         1         -         -           BP ome         Blood to plasma ratio ome         1         -         -           MI_omemets         Molecular weight omemets         345.4         #         -           Ptissue_omemets         Tissue distribution omemets         0         1         -           fup_omemets         Fraction unbound in plasma omemets         1         -         -           fup_omemets         Fraction unbound in plasma omemets         1         -         -           fup_omemets         Blood to plasma ratio omemets         1         -         -           Ka_dis_ome         Dissolution rate ome         2         1         -           Ka_dis_ome         Dissolution rate ome         2         1         -           Ka_dis_ome         Dissolution rate ome         2         1         -           Ka_abs_ome         Absorption rate ome         2         1         -           Ka_abs_ome         Absorption rate ome         2         1         -           Ka_abs_ome         Absorption rate ome         2         1         - <td>Etiaque emo</td> <td>Tissue distribution amo</td> <td>0</td> <td>mole</td> <td></td>	Etiaque emo	Tissue distribution amo	0	mole	
fup_ome         Fraction unbound in plasma ome         1         -         -           BP_ome         Blood to plasma ratio ome         1         -         -           Li_ome         Injection time ome         10         s         -           Mr_omemets         Molecular weight omemets         345.4         #         -           Ftissue_omemets         Tissue distribution omemets         0         1         -           fup_omemets         Fraction unbound in plasma omemets         1         -         -           BP_omemets         Blood to plasma ratio omemets         1         -         -           ka_dis_ome         Dissolution rate ome         2         1         -           Cederberg1992         1.7526         hr         / (PO)           Landahl1992         11.742         / (PO)         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0540         / (PO)         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0540         / (PO)         / (PO)           Landahl1992         11.481         -         -           Lind1983         76759         / (PO)         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0540         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001 <td< td=""><td>rcissue_ome</td><td>rissue distribution ome</td><td>0</td><td><u> </u></td><td>-</td></td<>	rcissue_ome	rissue distribution ome	0	<u> </u>	-
Image: Construction of the image: Constrene of the image: Construction of the image image: Construction of	fup ome	Eraction unbound in plasma amo	1	min	
bidd up pashia failuo une         1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th="">         1         <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<></th1<>	Iup_ome	Placed to placeme ratio amo	1	-	-
L. L. L. Joine         Injection function         100         3         -           Ma	Br_one		10	-	-
Ini		Injection time one	10	s a	-
Ftissue_omemets         Tissue distribution omernets         0         1         -           fup_omemets         Fraction unbound in plasma omernets         1         -         -           BP_omemets         Blood to plasma ratio omernets         1         -         -           Ka_dls_ome         Dissolution rate ome         2         1         -         -           Ka_dls_ome         Dissolution rate ome         2         1         -         -           Landahl1992         11.7526         1//r         / (PO)         / (PO)           Lind1983         4.3381         / (PO)         / (PO)         / (PO)         / (PO)           Regaardh1986         10.824         / (PO)         / (PO)         / (PO)         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0540         / (PO)         / (PO)         / (PO)         / (PO)           Ka_abs_ome         Absorption rate ome         2         1         ////r         / (PO)           Lind1983         7.6759         / (PO)         / (PO)         / (PO)         / (PO)         / (PO)           Lind1983         7.6759         / (PO)	Mr_omemets	Molecular weight omemets	345.4	molo	-
Index during of plasma one mets         1         -         -           fup_omemets         Blood to plasma ratio omemets         1         -         -           Ra_dis_ome         Dissolution rate ome         2         1         -         -           Ka_dis_ome         Dissolution rate ome         2         1         -         -           Landah1992         17.726         hr         / (PO)         / (PO)           Landah1992         11.742         / (PO)         / (PO)         / (PO)           Lind1983         4.3381         / (PO)         / (PO)         / (PO)           Regaardh1986         10.824         / (PO)         / (PO)         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0540         / (PO)         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2005         1.2731         / (PO)         / (PO)           Landah1992         11.481         / (PO)         / (PO)           Landah1985         0.8698         10.724         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0580         / (PO)         / (PO)           Lind1983         7.6759         / (PO)         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0580         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1	Etissue omemets	Tissue distribution omemets	0	l note	_
fup_omemets         Fraction unbound in plasma omemets         1         -         -           BP_ontermets         Blood to plasma ratio omemets         1         -         -           Ka_dis_ome         Dissolution rate ome         2         1         -         -           Ka_dis_ome         Dissolution rate ome         2         1         -         -           Landahi1992         11.742         hr         / (PO)         / (PO)           Lind1983         0.8671         / (PO)         / (PO)           Regaardh1986         10.824         / (PO)         / (PO)           Regaardh1990         19.933         / (PO)         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0540         / (PO)         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2005         1.2731         / (PO)         / (PO)           Landah1992         1.8362         hr         / (PO)           Landah1992         1.8362         hr         / (PO)           Lind1983         7.6759         / (PO)         / (PO)           Lind1983         7.6759         / (PO)         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0590         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.2356         / (PO)      <			Ū	min	
Instruments         Instruments         I <thi< th="">         I</thi<>	fup omemets	Fraction unbound in plasma omemets	1	-	-
Na_dis_ome         Dissolution rate omene         2         1           Ra_dis_ome         Cederberg1992         1.7526         1           Landah1992         1.7742         1         7           Lindah1993         4.3381         -/(PO)           Prichard1985         0.8671         -/(PO)           Regaardh1986         10.824         -/(PO)           Regaardh1980         19.993         -/(PO)           VazdaSilva2005         1.2731         -/(PO)           VazdaSilva2005         1.2731         -/(PO)           Ka_abs_ome         Absorption rate ome         2         1           Cederberg1992         1.8362         1         1/r           Lind1983         7.6759         -/(PO)         -/(PO)           Landah1992         1.481         -/r         -/(PO)           Landah1986         0.8698         -/(PO)         -/(PO)           Landah1986         10.724         -/(PO)         -/(PO)           Regaardn1986         10.590         -/(PO)         -/(PO)           Regaardh1986         10.724         -/(PO)         -/(PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0590         -/(PO)         -/(PO)           VazdaSilva200	BP omemets	Blood to plasma ratio omemets	1	-	-
Ind_chie_onc         Dissolution at one of the one one one one one one one one one on	Ka dis ome	Dissolution rate ome	2	1	
Construction         Construction<	114_415_6MC	Cederberg1002	1 7526	1 h	
Lind 1983         4.3381         √ (PO)           Prichard 1985         0.6671         ✓ (PO)           Regaardn 1986         10.824         ✓ (PO)           Regaardn 1986         10.824         ✓ (PO)           Vazda Silva2001         1.0540         ✓ (PO)           Vazda Silva2005         1.2731         ✓ (PO)           Ka_abs_ome         Absorption rate ome         2         1           Cederberg1992         1.8362         // (PO)           Lind 1983         7.6759         ✓ (PO)           Lind 1983         7.6759         ✓ (PO)           Lind 1983         0.6698         ✓ (PO)           Lind 1983         0.50933         ✓ (PO)           Vazda Silva2001         1.0590         ✓ (PO)           F_ome_pH2         Fraction absorbed at pH2         0.35         -           F_ome_pH4         Midpoint of pH absorption effect         4         -           F_ome_n         Hill coefficient of pH absorption effect         2         -           LiOMEIM_Km_ome		Landahl1992	11 742	<i>nu</i>	√ (FO)
Prichard1985         0.8671         / (PO)           Regaardh1986         10.824         / (PO)           Regaardh1990         19.993         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0540         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2005         1.2731         / (PO)           Ka_abs_ome         Absorption rate ome         2         1           Cederberg1992         1.8362 $hr$ / (PO)           Landah1992         11.481         / (PO)         / (PO)           Landah1992         11.481         / (PO)         / (PO)           Lind1983         7.6759         / (PO)         / (PO)           Regaardh1986         10.724         / (PO)         / (PO)           Regaardh1986         10.724         / (PO)         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0590         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.2356         / (PO)           F_ome_pH2         Fraction absorbed at pH2         0.35         -           F_ome_pH6         Fraction absorbat of pH         0.010599         mmole         -           LIOMEIM_Vmax         Vmax omeprazole import         0.01         1         -           LIOMEIM_Km_ome         K_m omeprazole conversion <td></td> <td>Lind1983</td> <td>4 3381</td> <td></td> <td>√ (PO)</td>		Lind1983	4 3381		√ (PO)
Regaardh1986         10.824         / (PO)           Regaardh1990         19.993         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0640         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2005         1.2731         / (PO)           Ka_abs_ome         Absorption rate ome         2         1           Cederberg1992         1.8362         1///////         / (PO)           Landahl1992         11.481         / (PO)           Lind1983         7.6759         / (PO)           Prichard1985         0.8698         / (PO)           Regaardh1986         10.724         / (PO)           Regaardh1986         10.724         / (PO)           Regaardh1985         0.8698         / (PO)           Regaardh1986         10.724         / (PO)           Regaardh1986         10.724         / (PO)           Regaardh1986         10.724         / (PO)           Regaardh1980         5.0933         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0590         / (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0590         / (PO)           Fome_pH2         Fraction absorbed at pH2         0.35         -           F_ome_pH6         Fraction absorbed at pH6         0.84         - </td <td></td> <td>Prichard1985</td> <td>0.8671</td> <td></td> <td>√ (PO)</td>		Prichard1985	0.8671		√ (PO)
Regaardh1990         19.933         J (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0540         J (PO)           VazdaSilva2005         1.2731         J (PO)           Ka_abs_ome         Absorption rate ome         2         1           Cederberg1992         1.8362         hr         J (PO)           Landah1992         11.481         J (PO)         J (PO)           Lind1983         7.6759         J (PO)         J (PO)           Regaardh1990         5.0933         J (PO)         J (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0590         J (PO)         J (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0590         J (PO)         J (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.0590         J (PO)         J (PO)           VazdaSilva2001         1.2356         J (PO)         J (PO)           F_ome_pH2         Fraction absorbed at pH2         0.35         -           F_ome_pH6         Fraction absorbed at pH2         0.35         -         -           F_ome_n         Midpoint of pH absorption effect         2         -         -           LIOMEIM_Vmax         Vmax omeprazole import         0.010599         mmole         -           LIIOMECYP_Vmax         Vmax omeprazole co		Regaardh1986	10.824		√ (PO)
VazdaSilva2001 VazdaSilva20051.0540 1.2731J (PO) J (PO) J (PO)Ka_abs_omeAbsorption rate ome Cederberg199221Ka_abs_omeAbsorption rate ome Cederberg199221Landahl199211.481J (PO) J (PO)Landahl199211.481J (PO) J (PO)Lind19837.6759J (PO) Prichard1985J (PO) S 0.8698Regaardh198610.724J (PO) Regaardh1996J (PO) J (PO) J (PO)Listagaardh19905.0933J (PO) J (PO)VazdaSilva20011.0590J (PO) J (PO)Listagaardh19905.0933J (PO) J (PO)Listagaardh19905.0933J (PO) J (PO)Listagaardh19905.0933J (PO) J (PO)Listagaardh19905.0933J (PO) J (PO)Listagaardh19900.355-F_ome_pH2Fraction absorbed at pH20.355F_ome_pH6Fraction absorbed at pH60.84F_ome_nHill coefficient of pH absorption effect2LI_OMEIM_VmaxVmax omeprazole import0.010599LI_OMEIM_Km_omeKm omeprazole import0.1LI_OMECYP_VmaxVmax omeprazole conversion0.33805LI_OMECYP_Km_omeKm omeprazole conversion0.1LI_OMECYP_Km_omeKm omeprazole conversion0.1LI_OMECYP_Km_omeKm omeprazole conversion0.1LI_OMECYP_Km_omeKm omeprazole conversion0.1		Regaardh1990	19.993		√ (PO)
VazdaŠilva20051.2731J (PO) V (PO)Ka_abs_omeAbsorption rate ome Cederberg199221Landahl199211.481/ (PO) Landahl1992/ (PO) Landahl1992Lind19837.6759/ (PO) Prichard19850.8698Regaardh198610.724/ (PO) VazdaŠilva2001/ (PO) L.0590VazdaŠilva20011.0590/ (PO) VazdaŠilva2001/ (PO) VazdaŠilva2001f_tissue_omeTissue distribution ome0.44948/ (PO) V (PO)f_tissue_omeTissue distribution ome0.44948/ (IV)F_ome_pH2Fraction absorbed at pH20.35-F_ome_pH6Fraction absorbed at pH20.35F_ome_nHill coefficient of pH absorption effect2LIOMEIM_VmaxV <sub>max</sub> omeprazole import0.010599mmole liter - min/ (IV)LIOMEIM_Km_omeKm omeprazole conversion0.33805mmole liter - min/ (IV)LIOMECYP_VmaxV <sub>max</sub> omeprazole conversion0.1mmole liter - min-LIOMECYP_Km_omeKm omeprazole conversion0.1mmole liter - min-		VazdaSilva2001	1.0540		√ (PO)
Ka_abs_ome       Absorption rate ome Cederberg1992       2       1       /<		VazdaSilva2005	1.2731		√ (PO)
Ka_abs_ome       Absorption rate ome Cederberg1992       1       1       // (PO)         Landahl1992       11.481       // (PO)       / (PO)         Lind1983       7.6759       / (PO)         Prichard1985       0.8698       / (PO)         Regaardh1990       5.0933       / (PO)         VazdaSilva2001       1.0590       / (PO)         VazdaSilva2001       1.0590       / (PO)         VazdaSilva2001       1.0590       / (PO)         F_ome_pH2       Fraction absorbed at pH2       0.35       -         F_ome_pH6       Fraction absorbed at pH6       0.844       -       -         F_ome_pH1       Midpoint of pH absorption effect       2       -       -         LIOMEIM_Vmax       V <sub>max</sub> omeprazole import       0.010599       mmole liter min       / (IV)         LIOMEIM_Km_ome       K <sub>m</sub> omeprazole conversion       0.33805       mmole liter min       -       -         LIOMECYP_Vmax       V <sub>max</sub> omeprazole conversion       0.1       mmole liter min       -       -					√ (PO)
Im_mmeImportImpor	Ka abs ome	Absorption rate ome	2	1	
Landahl 199211.481IIIV (PO)Lind 19837.6759V (PO)Prichard 19850.8698V (PO)Regaardh 198610.724V (PO)Regaardh 19905.0933V (PO)Vazda Silva 20011.0590V (PO)Vazda Silva 20011.2356V (PO)Vazda Silva 20011.2356V (PO)F_ome_pH2Fraction absorbed at pH20.35F_ome_pH6Fraction absorbed at pH60.84F_ome_nHill coefficient of pH absorption effect4F_ome_nHill coefficient of pH absorption effect2LI_OMEIM_VmaxV_max omeprazole import0.010599LI_OMEIM_Km_omeK_m omeprazole conversion0.33805LI_OMECYP_VmaxV_max omeprazole conversion0.1LI_OMECYP_Km_omeK_m omeprazole conversion0.1LI_OMECYP_Km_omeK_m omeprazole conversion0.1LI_OMECYP_Km_omeK_m omeprazole conversion0.1UIOMECYP_Km_omeK_m omeprazole conversion0.1		Cederberg1992	1.8362	$\overline{hr}$	√ (PO)
Lind19837.6759√ (PO)Prichard19850.8698√ (PO)Regaardh198610.724✓ (PO)Regaardh19905.0933✓ (PO)VazdaSilva20011.0590✓ (PO)VazdaSilva20011.2356✓ (PO)✓ (PO)✓ (PO)✓ (PO)✓ (PO)✓ (PO)✓ (PO) <td></td> <td>Landahl1992</td> <td>11.481</td> <td>101</td> <td>(PO)</td>		Landahl1992	11.481	101	(PO)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Lind1983	7.6759		√ (FO)
Regaardh1986 Regaardh199010.724 5.0933✓ (PO) ✓ (PO)VazdaSilva2001 VazdaSilva20011.0590 1.2356✓ (PO) ✓ (PO)f_tissue_omeTissue distribution ome0.44948✓ (IV)F_ome_pH2Fraction absorbed at pH20.35-F_ome_pH6Fraction absorbed at pH60.84-F_ome_kpHMidpoint of pH absorption effect4-F_ome_nHill coefficient of pH absorption effect2-LI_OMEIM_VmaxVmax omeprazole import0.010599mmole liter · min✓ (IV)LI_OMECYP_VmaxVmax omeprazole conversion0.33805mmole liter · min✓ (IV)LI_OMECYP_Km_omeKm omeprazole conversion0.1mmole liter · min-LI_OMECYP_Km_omeKm omeprazole conversion0.1mmole liter · min-		Prichard1985	0.8698		√ (PO)
Regaardh1990 VazdaSilva2001 VazdaSilva2001 VazdaSilva20015.0933 1.0590 1.2356 $\checkmark$ (PO) $\checkmark$ (PO) $\checkmark$ (PO)f_tissue_omeTissue distribution ome0.44948 $\checkmark$ (IV)f_ome_pH2Fraction absorbed at pH20.35-F_ome_pH6Fraction absorbed at pH60.84-F_ome_nMidpoint of pH absorption effect4-F_ome_nHill coefficient of pH absorption effect2-LI_OMEIM_VmaxVmax omeprazole import0.010599mmole liter · minLI_OMECYP_VmaxVmax omeprazole conversion0.33805mmole liter · minLI_OMECYP_Km_omeKm omeprazole conversion0.1mmole liter · minLI_OMECYP_Km_omeKm omeprazole conversion0.1mmole liter · min		Regaardh1986	10.724		√ (PO)
VazdaSilva2001 VazdaSilva20011.0590 1.2356√ (PO) √ (PO) √ (PO)f_tissue_omeTissue distribution ome0.44948√ (IV)F_ome_pH2Fraction absorbed at pH20.35F_ome_pH6Fraction absorbed at pH60.84F_ome_nMidpoint of pH absorption effect4F_ome_nHill coefficient of pH absorption effect2LI_OMEIM_VmaxVmax omeprazole import0.010599mmole liter · min√ (IV)LI_OMECYP_VmaxVmax omeprazole conversion0.33805mmole liter · min√ (IV)LI_OMECYP_Km_omeKm omeprazole conversion0.1mmole liter · min-LI_OMECYP_Km_omeKm omeprazole conversion0.1mmole liter · min-		Regaardh1990	5.0933		√ (PO)
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		VazdaSilva2001	1.0590		√ (PO)
Image: system of the syste		VazdaSilva2001	1.2356		√ (PO)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					√ (PO)
F_ome_pH2Fraction absorbed at pH20.35-F_ome_pH6Fraction absorbed at pH60.84-F_ome_KpHMidpoint of pH absorption effect4-F_ome_nHill coefficient of pH absorption effect2-LI_OMEIM_Vmax $V_{max}$ omeprazole import0.010599 $\underline{mmole}_{liter \cdot min}$ LI_OMEIM_Km_omeK_m omeprazole conversion0.33805 $\underline{mmole}_{liter \cdot min}$ LI_OMECYP_Vmax $V_{max}$ omeprazole conversion0.11 $\underline{mmole}_{liter \cdot min}$ LI_OMECYP_Km_omeK_m omeprazole conversion0.1 $\underline{mmole}_{liter \cdot min}$	f tissue ome	Tissue distribution ome	0.44948		√ (IV)
F_ome_pH6Fraction absorbed at pH60.84-F_ome_KpHMidpoint of pH absorption effect4-F_ome_nHill coefficient of pH absorption effect2-LI_OMEIM_Vmax $V_{max}$ omeprazole import0.010599 $\underline{mmole}_{liter \cdot min}$ LI_OMEIM_Km_omeK_m omeprazole import0.1 $\underline{mmole}_{liter}$ LI_OMECYP_Vmax $V_{max}$ omeprazole conversion0.33805 $\underline{mmole}_{liter \cdot min}$ LI_OMECYP_Km_omeK_m omeprazole conversion0.1 $\underline{mmole}_{liter \cdot min}$	F ome pH2	Fraction absorbed at pH2	0.35	-	-
F_ome_KpHMidpoint of pH absorption effect4-F_ome_nHill coefficient of pH absorption effect2-LI_OMEIM_Vmax $V_{max}$ omeprazole import0.010599 $\underline{mmole}_{liter \cdot min}$ LI_OMEIM_Km_omeK_m omeprazole import0.1 $\underline{mmole}_{liter}$ LI_OMECYP_Vmax $V_{max}$ omeprazole conversion0.33805 $\underline{mmole}_{liter \cdot min}$ LI_OMECYP_Km_omeK_m omeprazole conversion0.1 $\underline{mmole}_{liter \cdot min}$	F ome pH6	Fraction absorbed at pH6	0.84	-	-
F_ome_nHill coefficient of pH absorption effect2-LI_OMEIM_Vmax $V_{max}$ omeprazole import0.010599 $\underline{mmole}$ liter $\cdot min$ $\checkmark$ (IV)LI_OMEIM_Km_omeK_m omeprazole import0.1 $\underline{mmole}$ liter-LI_OMECYP_Vmax $V_{max}$ omeprazole conversion0.33805 $\underline{mmole}$ liter $\cdot min$ LI_OMECYP_Km_omeK_m omeprazole conversion0.1 $\underline{mmole}$ liter $\cdot min$	F ome KaH	Midpoint of pH absorption effect	<u> </u>	-	-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Fome n	Hill coefficient of nH absorption effect	2	-	-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	L.T. OMETM Vmay	V omenrazole import	0.010500	mmole	/ (NA
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	LIOMEIM_VIIAX	V <sub>max</sub> oneprazole import	0.010599		√ (IV)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	IT OMETM Vm ama	K omonrozala impart	0.1	liter · min	
LIOMECYP_Vmax     Vmax omeprazole conversion     0.33805     Iter       LIOMECYP_Km_ome     Km omeprazole conversion     0.1     mmole liter · min	TT_OUTETH_VII_OIII6		0.1		-
LI_OMECYP_Km_ome     Km omeprazole conversion     0.33605     Introde Liter     J (IV)       LI_OMECYP_Km_ome     Km omeprazole conversion     0.1     Introde Liter     -	I.I OMEOVE Umay		0 22005	<u>liter</u>	( (1) ()
LI_OMECYP_Km_ome     Km omeprazole conversion     0.1     Inter · min       III_OMECYP_Km_ome     Km omeprazole conversion     0.1     Inter · min	DIONDOIF_VIIIdX		0.33605	liter	√ (IV)
	I.I OMECYP Km ome	K omenrazele conversion	0.1	<u>mmole</u>	
			0.1	litor	-

LIOMEMETSEX_Vmax	$V_{max}$ omeprazole metabolite export	0.32125	mmole liter : min	√ (IV)
LIOMEMETSEX_Km_omemets	K <sub>m</sub> omeprazole metabolite export	0.1	mmole liter	-
KIOMEMETSEX_k	Rate urinary excretion omemets	0.59741	$\frac{1}{min}$	√ (IV)
STQgacid	Gastric acid secretion	0.01	$\frac{l}{min}$	-
ST_pp_rate_k	Rate of proton secretion	0.1	mmole min	-
STppi_k	Inhibition rate PP	0.01	$\frac{l}{min}$	-
STpp_syntehsis_k	Synthesis rate PP	0.01	mmole min	-
STpp_degradation_k	Degradation rate PP	0.01	mmole min	-

Table 3 - Overview of data used in parameter fitting and model evaluation. The table lists the name from the study, the PK-DB identifier and PMID, application route (oral or intravenous), the form in which the drug was administered, tissue, dosage with unit and the name of the fit.

Study	PKDB	PMID	applicatio n	dose [mg]	route	form	tissue	data identifier
Cederberg1992 [7]	PKDB00519	<u>1499942</u>	single dose	10	IV	solution	plasma	fm_ome_iv10
Cederberg1992 [7]	PKDB00519	<u>1499942</u>	single dose	40	IV	solution	plasma	fm_iv40
Cederberg1992 [7]	PKDB00519	<u>1499942</u>	multiple dose	10	IV	solution	plasma	fm_iv10_multi
Cederberg1992 [7]	PKDB00519	<u>1499942</u>	multiple dose	40	IV	solution	plasma	fm_iv40_multi
Cederberg1992 [7]	PKDB00519	<u>1499942</u>	single dose	20	PO	hard capsule	plasma	fm_ome_po20
Cederberg1992 [7]	PKDB00519	<u>1499942</u>	multiple dose	20	PO	hard capsule	plasma	fm_ome_po20_multi
Landahl1992 [18]	PKDB00521	<u>1458764</u>	single dose	20	IV	solution	plasma	fm_ome_iv20
Landahl1992 [18]	PKDB00521	<u>1458764</u>	single dose	20	IV	solution	urine	fm_ome_iv20_Fig5
Landahl1992 [18]	PKDB00521	<u>1458764</u>	single dose	40	PO	solution buffered	plasma	fm_ome_po40
Landahl1992 [18]	PKDB00521	<u>1458764</u>	single dose	40	PO	solution buffered	urine	fm_ome_po40_Fig5
Lind1983 [19]	PKDB00522	<u>6832622</u>	single dose	40	PO	solution buffered	plasma	fm_ome_po40
Prichard1985 [32]	PKDB00522	<u>6832622</u>	single dose	40	PO	hard capsule	plasma	fm_ome_po40_am fm_ome_po40_pm
Prichard1985 [32]	PKDB00522	<u>6832622</u>	multiple dose	40	PO	hard capsule	plasma	fm_ome_po40_multi_am fm_ome_po40_multi_pm
Regaardh1986 [33]	PKDB00524	3460172	single dose	10	IV	solution	plasma	fm_ome_iv10
Regaardh1986 [33]	PKDB00524	<u>3460172</u>	single dose	20	PO	solution buffered	plasma	fm_ome_po20
Regaardh1990 [34]	PKDB00525	<u>2315973</u>	single dose	10	IV	solution	plasma	fm_ome_iv10_adult7 fm_ome_iv10
Regaardh1990 [34]	PKDB00525	<u>2315973</u>	single dose	10	IV	solution	urine	fm_KIomemets_urine_iv10
Regaardh1990 [34]	PKDB00525	<u>2315973</u>	single dose	20	PO	solution buffered	plasma	fm_ome_po20_adult7 fm_ome_po20
Regaardh1990 [34]	PKDB00525	<u>2315973</u>	single dose	20	PO	solution buffered	urine	fm_KIomemets_urine_po20
VazdaSilva2001 [40]	PKDB00527	27517550	multiple dose	20	PO	hard capsule	plasma	fm_ome_po20_multi_Mopral fm_ome_po20_multi_Ompranyt
VazdaSilva2005 [41]	PKDB00527	27517550	multiple dose	20	PO	hard capsule	plasma	fm_ome_po20_multi_Mopral fm_ome_po20_multi_Ompranyt
Walt1985 [43]	PKDB00528	4029717	single dose	80	PO	powder	plasma	fm_ome_iv80

An overview over the parameter fitting results for the intravenous studies is provided in Figure 5. A large set of heterogeneous IV studies could be fitted with a single parameter set, as can be seen from the comparison of model prediction and experimental data (see **Figure 5A**). All intravenous datasets contributed similar to the parameter fit as can be seen by the comparison of weighted residuals (see **Figure 5B**). On the other hand, the parameter fitting results for the individual oral applications of omeprazole was much more heterogeneous with only a subset of studies fitted very well (data not shown).



**Figure 5: Parameter fitting results of intravenous studies. A)** Predicted versus experimental data. A good agreement can be found between experimental data and model predictions. Only outlier is a single data point from Cederberg1992 at very low concentrations. **B)** Weighted residuals of the optimal parameter fit. All intravenous datasets contributed similar to the parameter fit. The resulting fitted intravenous parameters are listed in **Table 2**.

#### 3.4 Model performance

The performance of the model for prediction of omeprazole pharmacokinetics was evaluated by comparing the model simulations with the data. The results for the single doses are reported in Section 3.4.1, the results for multiple doses in Section 3.4.2 and in Section 6 (Supplement).

#### 3.4.1. Model performance single dose

The following studies were used for the evaluation of single dose omeprazole treatment Cederberg1992 (iv10, iv40, po20), Landahl1992 (iv20, po40), Lind1983 (po40), Prichard1985 (po40), Regaardh1986 (iv10, po20), Regaardh1990 (iv10, po20), Walt1985 (iv80) were selected.



**Figure 6: Simulation experiment Cederberg1992.** For various dosing protocols the omeprazole plasma concentrations predicted by the developed model and the curated clinical data from Cederberg1992 [7] are compared. The single intravenous administration of omeprazole with 10 mg and 40 mg each and a single administration of 20 mg oral omeprazole are shown. The data come from 9 adult volunteers with duodenal ulcers.

# Regaardh1986



**Figure 7: Simulation experiment Regaardh1986.** For various dosing protocols the omeprazole plasma concentrations predicted by the developed model and the curated clinical data from Regaardh1986 [33] are compared. The single intravenous administration of omeprazole with 10 mg and 40 mg each and a single administration of 20 mg oral omeprazole are shown. The data come from 8 healthy adult volunteers.

The time course of plasma omeprazole was compared to the respective experimental data in the single application experiments. In addition, the accumulation of omeprazole metabolites in urine was compared in the experiments by Landahl1992 and Regaardh1990. For the simulations, the respective dose and application route of omeprazole and the number (n) of subjects were set in the simulation experiments. In addition, the information on the application form (solution or hard capsule) was used to adapt the fraction absorbed. The results of representative single dose simulations are depicted in **Figure 6** and **Figure 7**, with the remaining simulations in section 6 (Supplement).

The solid line represents the time course prediction by the PBPK/PD model, and the dashed line shows the corresponding data from the curated studies. In general, we see a good agreement between the model prediction and data. When comparing the time curves of intravenous and oral applications, it can be seen that after intravenous application, the plasma omeprazole peak is reached immediately after application and is subsequently eliminated from the blood very rapidly. The oral dose has a delayed start to the increase in concentration and a less pronounced increase until the peak is reached, but higher concentrations of omeprazole can be found even after a few hours. This is due to the relatively slow absorption rate.

Furthermore, the intravenous doses reach much higher maximal concentration values than the oral dose, both in the simulation experiments and studies. The reasons for this have already been mentioned in Section 1.3 since the intravenous dose is not subject to a first-pass effect, whereas the oral dose must first be absorbed in the intestine, and some of the concentration is lost in the process. Also, the maximum peak is not as high as in rapid intravenous application due to the slow absorption rate. The results show that the pharmacokinetics model is in good agreement with experimental data after single dose applications. Whereas all intravenous data could be described very well with a single parameter set and is in very good agreement for all studies with the model predictions, the prediction of oral data was much more challenging (see Supplementary figures). A major challenge was the highly heterogeneous application form of omeprazole in the various studies, which was often not reported in sufficient detail. Depending on the formulation, such as hard capsules, powder, soft capsules or solution, very different absorption kinetics can be expected. Formulations protecting from the stomach acid are not affected by the stomach's pH-dependent degradation, and much larger fractions can be absorbed. Fitting individual dissolution and absorption parameters per study substantially improved the agreement between model prediction and oral data. However, certain studies could not be fitted well, such as Prichard1985.

#### 3.4.2. Model performance multiple dose

In a next step, the effect of multiple dosing was simulated using the following studies: Cederberg1992 (iv10multi, iv40multi, po20multi), Prichard1985 (po40multi), Vazdasilva2001 (po20multi), Vazdasilva2005 (po20multi).

For the model performance of the multiple dosing experiments, analogous to single application experiments, the model predictions were compared to experimental data (representative example in **Figure 8** with all simulations in Section 6). The model predictions are in very good agreement with experimental data in the case of multiple intravenous applications. In the simulation experiment of Cederberg1992, omeprazole was administered every 24 hours for four days, and the plasma concentration in the blood was measured. For the oral application, the same limitations exist as in the single dose application, i.e., highly heterogeneous responses due to the large variability in formulations.



**Figure 8: Simulation Experiment Cederberg1992.** For various dosing protocols the omeprazole plasma concentrations predicted by the developed model and the curated clinical data from Cederberg1992 [7] are compared. The multiple intravenous administration of omeprazole with 10 mg and 40 mg each and a multiple administration of 20 mg oral omeprazole are shown. The data come from 9 adult volunteers with duodenal ulcers.

#### 3.5 Model application

To evaluate the developed PBPK/PD model, it was tested if the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of omeprazole described in Sections 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, and 1.5 could be correctly represented. For this purpose, three core aspects of the model were checked in the following application experiments: i) Single oral and intravenous applications of omeprazole were compared to study the first path effect, i.e., a reduction in bioavailability due to omeprazole degradation in the stomach, and the difference in increasing stomach pH (Section 3.5.1). ii) Repeated dosing with oral or intravenous omeprazole to evaluate the dose potentiation effect due to changes in stomach pH and the fraction absorbed (Section 3.5.2). iii) Evaluation of the model to reduce stomach pH for different doses, oral and intravenous application, and single and multiple application (Section 3.5.3)

#### 3.5.1 Oral vs. intravenous application

First the effect of oral vs. intravenous application of single doses of omeprazole was tested. The results of the simulation with a single application of 40 mg omeprazole are shown in **Figure 9**:



#### Oral vs. intravenous omeprazole application

**Figure 9: Single oral vs. intravenous dose of omeprazole.** Simulations for the intravenous dose (solid) and oral dose (dashed) are compared. The following model readouts are depicted: omeprazole plasma concentration, stomach pH, active and inactive proton pumps, omeprazole metabolites plasma concentration, the rates at which protons are secreted into the gastric lumen, the proton pump turnover, the amount of omeprazole metabolites in urine, the amount of protons in gastric acid, and the fraction of omeprazole absorbed.

Multiple differences can be observed between oral (dashed) and intravenous (solid) single application of omeprazole on day 1. The model shows a steady-state stomach pH of 2 in line with typical observed values of pH 1-3 in healthy subjects. Both after intravenous and oral omeprazole, the pH increases rapidly, with peaks reached within a few hours after application reaching pH 3 in the oral case and > pH 5 in the intravenous case. Despite the fast half-life of omeprazole of a few hours (see Figure 6, 7, 8). the pH takes 4-5 days to go back to baseline. The maximal effect on the stomach pH of an oral dose is much smaller than the intravenous dose, mainly due to the reduced fraction absorbed, ranging from losing around one third of the dose in the stomach. The smaller dose reaching the systemic circulation in case of oral application is reflected by the much lower amounts of omeprazole metabolites in the urine. The increase in stomach pH is due to the inactivation of proton pumps reaching up to 80% in case of intravenous omeprazole and up to 50% in case of an oral application. Due to the turnover of the proton pumps the inactive proton pumps are in the cause of a few days replayed with newly synthesized active proton pumps. The change in stomach pH is a direct consequence of the inhibition of the proton pumps, which can secret less protons in the stomach resulting in fewer protons in the stomach and a lower pH. Importantly the change of stomach pH also changes the possible fraction absorbed of future oral omeprazole doses by modifying the pH dependent decay of omeprazole in the stomach. Both iv and oral omeprazole change the fraction absorbed with iv, having a stronger effect, i.e., a larger fraction of an oral dose following an iv dose is decaying than when following an oral dose. A maximum fraction absorbed of 0.85 is assumed for omeprazole at a relatively neutral pH value of 6, and this value is the same for both intravenous and oral dosing. However, if the pH value is in the acidic range, in this case, pH 2, the fraction absorbed rate of omeprazole is strongly reduced in the model, namely to 0.25-0.3. After application of omeprazole this value is increased in the intravenous case to approximately 0.55, or in the case of a single application of an oral dose, a value of a maximum of 0.4 is reached. Only after five days, after the effect of omeprazole on the stomach pH has worn off, the fraction absorbed return to baseline. I.e., a long lasting potentiation effect of omeprazole on future omeprazole doses is predicted by the model in line with reported data.

Because the intravenous dose is not subject to a first-pass effect and the total omeprazole dose reaches the systemic circulation, a more potentiation effect is expected for oral doses following intravenous doses.

#### 3.5.2 Dose potentiation in repeated dosing

Next the dose potentiation effect after repeated dosing was evaluated. Multiple doses of either oral or intravenous omeprazole were applied, and model readouts were compared (**Figure 10**).

#### Single vs. multiple omeprazole application



**Figure 10 : Single application vs. multiple dose of omeprazole.** Simulations for the intravenous dose (solid) and oral dose (dashed) are compared. The following model readouts are depicted: omeprazole plasma concentration, stomach pH, active and inactive proton pumps, omeprazole metabolites plasma concentration, the rates at which protons are secreted into the gastric lumen, the proton pump turnover, the amount of omeprazole metabolites in urine, the amount of protons in gastric acid, and the fraction of omeprazole absorbed.

As in the single application a much higher increase in stomach pH can be observed after intravenous omeprazole compared to oral omeprazole due to reduced fraction of omeprazole reaching the systemic circulation. For both oral and intravenous application a dose potentiation of subsequent doses can be observed with a stabil dosing response reached in the intravenous case after around three applications and in the oral case after around five applications of omeprazole. The slow turnover of the proton pumps results in a dose potentiation because the inactivation effect of subsequent daily doses can add up. The additional dose potentiation in the oral application on top of the turnover effect is due to the increasing fraction absorbed with increasing stomach pH values. A stable fraction absorbed is only reached after a few days. Due to the slow turnover of the proton pumps the effect of omeprazole on stomach pH is long lasting (a few days). Due to the dose potentiation a stomach pH of 7 is reached after multiple iv doses compared to pH 5 after single doses and pH 4 compared to pH 3 after multiple oral doses.

#### Omeprazole changes in stomach pH



**Figure 11 : Changes in stomach pH after various single and multiple oral and intravenous omeprazole doses**. Omeprazole iv and po doses of 10, 20 and 40 mg were simulated after single application and on day 5 of multiple application. Simulations were compared against data from Cederberg1992. Different colors represent the three intravenous (red) and oral (blue) doses of omeprazole with single applications as solid lines and multiple applications as dashed lines. Depicted are the simulated time courses of stomach pH and fraction absorbed, a histogram of the stomach pH values under single and multiple applications, and the cumulative pH frequency reported by Cederberg1992.

The following main results can be observed in line with the experimental data: With increasing dose, the stomach pH is increasing for single as well as multiple applications, for oral as well as intravenous application. A strong dose potentiation exists for omeprazole with multiple doses having a stronger increase in pH than single doses for intravenous and oral application. Intravenous application has a stronger effect than the corresponding oral application, mainly due to the loss during absorption. The predicted cumulative pH frequencies are in line with the experimentally observed changes by Cederberg1992. An important difference is much more significant heterogeneity in stomach pH values in the experimental data compared to the model predictions. The main reason is a daily fluctuation in stomach pH values, mainly due to food uptake, which is not considered in the model.

#### 3.6 Summary

Within this thesis, the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of omeprazole were studied using a computational modeling approach. For this purpose, a detailed analysis of clinical studies was carried out, of which the data from 14 clinical studies were curated and digitized. Based on this data, a PBPK/PD model was developed to study the effect of oral vs. intravenous and single vs. multiple application of omeprazole. The model equations include a pH dependent fraction absorbed of omeprazole and an omeprazole dependent inhibition of proton pumps. The curated time course information of omeprazole in plasma and omeprazole metabolites in urine was used for parameter fitting. The model was applied to investigate the differences between i) oral and intravenous omeprazole application, ii) the effects of a single application of omeprazole and a repeated application on the plasma concentration and the change in stomach pH. The model was applied to study the main questions of this thesis: i) how gastric acid output and pH change with omeprazole dosing and treatment regime, and ii) what the effects of repeated dosing on omeprazole absorption and pH are. In summary, based on the assumptions that omeprazole is degraded pH dependent in the stomach (fraction absorbed), omeprazole inhibits the H\*/K\*-ATPase irreversibly, and that continuous turnover of the H\*/K\*-ATPase exists a multitude of data and phenomena could be explained by the model.

#### 4 Discussion

#### 4.1 Data

In order to build the PBPK/PD model, data from clinical studies were required for model parameterization and evaluation. An important outcome of this work is a high-guality dataset of omeprazole pharmacokinetics freely available for scientific reuse from the pharmacokinetics database PK-DB. Many aspects were important for collecting and curating the omeprazole data set. Most of the curated studies date from the 80s and 90s, as the research on omeprazole was at its peak shortly after its discovery. Many of the key publications characterizing the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of omeprazole were published in these years. Secondary aspects such as drug-drug interaction were only assessed in later clinical studies. Data curation focused on studies with omeprazole time curves and corresponding pharmacokinetic parameters in healthy human subjects. Liver and kidney disease can strongly influence the pharmacokinetics of substances, so that corresponding studies were excluded from the modeling workflow. Two of the 14 curated studies also examined subjects with duodenal ulcers. Since omeprazole is used for precisely such diseases, these were included in the curation process. The pharmacokinetics should not be altered in these subjects, but pharmacodynamics could be affected. Consequently, only pharmacokinetics data was used from the duodenal ulcers subjects in the modeling process. Another important aspect was the inclusion of studies that report both intravenous and oral administration of omeprazole. In order to assess the influence of the dosage form (solution, hard capsule, powder) on the time curves and finally correct it in the parameter fitting, a heterogeneous selection of application forms was of relevance (see Table 3). An additional consideration was the focus on studies in which the test persons had consumed the omeprazole in a fasting state because food consumption can markedly change absorption kinetics (pharmacokinetics) and stomach pH (pharmacodynamics). An essential outcome was observing that the intravenous data were highly consistent between studies and could be described with a single parameter set. In contrast, the oral data was very heterogeneous, mainly due to the large variability in the application form.

#### 4.2 Model validation

The main outcome of this work is a PBPK/PD model of omeprazole able to correctly describe many aspects and phenomena of the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of omeprazole.

The following key assumptions were made for the model: i) omeprazole can be degraded pH-dependent in the stomach; ii) omeprazole inhibits the H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase irreversibly; and iii) continuous turnover of the H<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase, exists. The assumptions were successfully implemented in the model and allowed to recapitulate important aspects of omeprazole pharmacodynamics successfully.

The model predictions (Section 3.4 and 3.5) are in good agreement with the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data. Intravenous pharmacokinetics of omeprazole can be described very well by the model for single and multiple applications of various doses. In contrast, some of the oral pharmacokinetics are in very good agreement with the data, whereas other studies could not be well predicted. The main limitation was hereby the often missing details on the omeprazole formulation. The formulation of a drug strongly affects its absorption kinetics and determines how much of the substance is already released in the stomach or only later in the intestine. This information is especially relevant for omeprazole with a pH-dependent degradation in the stomach. This work tried to account for the

differences between the oral studies by fitting individual absorption and dissolution parameters per study. This strategy resulted in much better agreement between model predictions and oral data than a single parameter set. An important information is an actual fraction absorbed per formulation, which was not part of the parameter fit but was either assumed to be 1 (completely absorbed) in case of hard capsules or calculated based on the pH dependent fraction absorbed otherwise.

An essential result of this work was that the model could successfully demonstrate the multiple dosing effects. The model performance can be seen in Section 3.5.2 and shows that the stomach pH, as in the clinical studies, increases with subsequent doses and reaches a stable dosing regime after three to five days. Dose potentiation in multiple dosing is due to the slow turnover of the protein pumps in combination with pH dependent fraction absorbed in case of multiple oral dosing. The long-lasting increase in stomach pH over multiple days potentiated in multiple dosing can have important effects on the absorption of other medications. Even days after omeprazole uptake, other pH-labile drugs can be markedly affected in their absorption and bioavailability. Omeprazole can therefore result in important drug-drug interactions (not only based on the cytochrome P450 involved in its metabolism) but also due to alterations of absorption kinetics.

A limitation of the presented work is that no independent validation data was used for model evaluation, but all pharmacokinetics data was used for parameter fitting. Furthermore, the pharmacodynamics effects were only compared qualitatively.

#### 4.3 Model simplifications and assumptions

Various aspects were not included in the presented model, and multiple simplifications and assumptions had to be performed. For instance, no first pass metabolism of omeprazole by CYP3A4 in the intestine was included, whereas, in reality, part of the omeprazole reaching the intestine is already metabolized there. Another aspect, already mentioned in Section 1.5, was that the increased bioavailability of omeprazole after multiple dosing was modeled based on the assumption of acid lability of omeprazole. The second possible explanation that the increased bioavailability is due to an auto-inhibition of omeprazole metabolism by omeprazole was not part of the model. It should be mentioned that the second explanation was the results from the Cederberg1992 study, where a significantly increased AUC value of more than 80% from 10 to 40 mg IV dose could be observed. This result could not be explained by the acid lability, as this only applies to oral and non-intravenous doses. Drugs that exhibit pH instability in the acidic range, as in the case of omeprazole, are often encapsulated in an enteric-coated hard gelatin capsule to increase the bioavailability of the drug. The time of onset of action of the drug is delayed by the coating [38]. For the dose potentiation effect of an oral dose with a hard capsule, no effect is noticeable because, in the model, an absorption of 100% is assumed. This represents a further simplification of the model since the different omeprazole formulations would have to be broken down more precisely. As shown in **Table 3**, hard capsules were used for oral administration in the case of oral dosages. In addition, the inclusion of the application via buffered solution and powder would be helpful for future models in order to obtain more realistic results after oral application.

In addition, the hepatic metabolism of omeprazole (in Section 1.2) was simplified in the model. The conversion of omeprazole in its active form into the primary two forms via the two enzymes CYP2C19 and CYP3A4, omeprazole sulfone, and hydroxyomeprazole, were not modeled in detail. For simplification, these steps were simplified via a single CYP catalyzed conversion of omeprazole to omeprazole metabolites.

Simple irreversible Michaelis Menten equations or mass-action kinetics were used to model transport and metabolic processes. The passive transport of omeprazole in the Parietal cells via diffusion was not included in the model.

An important modulator of stomach pH is food. According to clinical studies, the effectiveness of omeprazole based on the duration of the pH value change in the fasting state is more than twice as high as in non-fasting subjects [11]. Depending on the time of the last food intake and its composition, the pH value strongly fluctuates during the day. These daily fluctuations were not considered in the model, but a constant pH 2 was assumed. As reported in various studies, the daily fluctuation in stomach pH is further complicated due to a circadian rhythm of gastric acid secretion. Typically, acid secretion is more active in the evening than in the morning [26, 27]. Neither food uptake nor circadian rhythmicity was included in the model.

#### 4.4 Future direction of research

Within this thesis, we developed a PBPK/PD model of omeprazole in healthy human subjects and applied it to study essential questions such as dose potentiation in multiple dosing.

Future work could expand the model. One possibility to expand the model would be to include the aspect that omeprazole is a racemate. Omeprazole exists in both its R-form and S-form, with the S-enantiomer having a more significant effect on pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. The S-form (esomeprazole) is even offered as a single PPI and was only discovered a few years later after the development of omeprazole [5]. By including this division of the racemate aspect into S-form and R-form, the results in the model could be specified more precisely.

Another interesting extension would be modeling the effect of food uptake and circadian rhythm on pH to more realistically describe the daily variations in stomach pH. Importantly the metabolism of omeprazole was highly simplified in the model, and a more detailed description of intestinal first pass metabolism and alternative routes and metabolites in the liver could provide important insights. An extended metabolic model could also be applied to test the alternative explanation that omeprazole inhibits its metabolism.

Notably, many aspects of the developed model are not specific for omeprazole but could be directly applied to study other proton pump inhibitors, e.g., the mode of action of drugs such as esomeprazole or pantoprazole). The PPIs all work according to the exact underlying mechanism, which would allow reusing the presented pharmacodynamics model of PP turnover and PP inhibition.

Further possibilities to expand this work would be the modeling of drug-drug interactions. One possibility would be modeling the effectiveness, absorption, activity, and the change in the pH value when taking another drug at the same time which interacts with omeprazole metabolism or studies the effect of altered pH on absorption of other drugs.

#### **5** References

[1] Abelö, A.; Andersson, T. B.; Antonsson, M.; Naudot, A. K.; Skånberg, I.; Weidolf, L. (2000): Stereoselective metabolism of omeprazole by human cytochrome P450 enzymes. In Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals 28 (8), pp. 966–972.

[2] Al-Badr, Abdullah A. (2010): Omeprazole. In Profiles of drug substances, excipients, and related methodology 35, pp. 151–262. DOI: 10.1016/S1871-5125(10)35004-7.

[3] Andersson, T.; Cederberg, C.; Regårdh, C. G.; Skånberg, I. (1990): Pharmacokinetics of various single intravenous and oral doses of omeprazole. In European journal of clinical pharmacology 39 (2), pp. 195–197. DOI: 10.1007/BF00280061.

[4] Andersson, T. (1991): Omeprazole drug interaction studies. In Clinical pharmacokinetics 21 (3), pp. 195–212. DOI: 10.2165/00003088-199121030-00004.

[5] Asghar, Waheed; Pittman, Elliot; Jamali, Fakhreddin (2015): Comparative efficacy of esomeprazole and omeprazole: Racemate to single enantiomer switch. In Daru : journal of Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences 23, p. 50. DOI: 10.1186/s40199-015-0133-6.

[6] Cederberg, C.; Andersson, T.; Skånberg, I. (1989): Omeprazole: pharmacokinetics and metabolism in man. In Scandinavian journal of gastroenterology. Supplement 166, 33-40; discussion 41-2. DOI: 10.3109/00365528909091241.

[7] Cederberg, C.; Thomson, A. B.; Mahachai, V.; Westin, J. A.; Kirdeikis, P.; Fisher, D. et al. (1992): Effect of intravenous and oral omeprazole on 24-hour intragastric acidity in duodenal ulcer patients. In Gastroenterology 103 (3), pp. 913–918. DOI: 10.1016/0016-5085(92)90025-t

[8] Clarrett, Danisa M.; Hachem, Christine (2018): Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD). In Missouri medicine 115 (3), pp. 214–218.

[9] Dean, Laura; Kane, Megan (2012): Medical Genetics Summaries. Omeprazole Therapy and CYP2C19 Genotype. Edited by Victoria M. Pratt, Stuart A. Scott, Munir Pirmohamed, Bernard Esquivel, Megan S. Kane, Brandi L. Kattman, Adriana J. Malheiro. Bethesda (MD).

[10] Grzegorzewski, Jan; Brandhorst, Janosch; Green, Kathleen; Eleftheriadou, Dimitra; Duport, Yannick; Barthorscht, Florian et al. (2021): PK-DB: pharmacokinetics database for individualized and stratified computational modeling. In Nucleic acids research 49 (D1), D1358-D1364. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkaa990.

[11] Hatlebakk, J. G.; Katz, P. O.; Camacho-Lobato, L.; Castell, D. O. (2000): Proton pump inhibitors: better acid suppression when taken before a meal than without a meal. In Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics 14 (10), pp. 1267–1272. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.2000.00829.x.

[12] Howden, C. W.; Forrest, J. A.; Reid, J. L. (1984): Effects of single and repeated doses of omeprazole on gastric acid and pepsin secretion in man. In Gut 25 (7), pp. 707–710. DOI: 10.1136/gut.25.7.707.

[13] Howden, C. W.; Meredith, P. A.; Forrest, J. A.; Reid, J. L. (1984): Oral pharmacokinetics of omeprazole. In European journal of clinical pharmacology 26 (5), pp. 641–643. DOI: 10.1007/BF00543502.

[14] Hucka, M.; Finney, A.; Sauro, H. M.; Bolouri, H.; Doyle, J. C.; Kitano, H. et al. (2003): The systems biology markup language (SBML): a medium for representation and exchange of biochemical network models. In Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 19 (4), pp. 524–531. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg015.

[15] Hucka, Michael; Bergmann, Frank T.; Chaouiya, Claudine; Dräger, Andreas; Hoops, Stefan; Keating, Sarah M. et al. (2019): The Systems Biology Markup Language (SBML): Language Specification for Level 3 Version 2 Core Release 2. In Journal of integrative bioinformatics 16 (2). DOI: 10.1515/jib-2019-0021.

[16] Keating, Sarah M.; Waltemath, Dagmar; König, Matthias; Zhang, Fengkai; Dräger, Andreas; Chaouiya, Claudine et al. (2020): SBML Level 3: an extensible format for the exchange and reuse of biological models. In Molecular systems biology 16 (8), e9110. DOI: 10.15252/msb.20199110.

[17] Ito, Tetsuhide; Cadiot, Guillaume; Jensen, Robert T. (2012): Diagnosis of Zollinger-Ellison syndrome: increasingly difficult. In World journal of gastroenterology 18 (39), pp. 5495–5503. DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v18.i39.5495.

[18] Landahl, S.; Andersson, T.; Larsson, M.; Lernfeldt, B.; Lundborg, P.; Regårdh, C. G. et al. (1992): Pharmacokinetic study of omeprazole in elderly healthy volunteers. In Clinical pharmacokinetics 23 (6), pp. 469–476. DOI: 10.2165/00003088-199223060-00006.

[19] Lind, T.; Cederberg, C.; Ekenved, G.; Haglund, U.; Olbe, L. (1983): Effect of omeprazole--a gastric proton pump inhibitor--on pentagastrin stimulated acid secretion in man. In Gut 24 (4), pp. 270–276. DOI: 10.1136/gut.24.4.270.

[20] Lutz, Justin D.; Isoherranen, Nina (2012): Prediction of relative in vivo metabolite exposure from in vitro data using two model drugs: dextromethorphan and omeprazole. In Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals 40 (1), pp. 159–168. DOI: 10.1124/dmd.111.042200.

[21] König2021a, König, Matthias, & Balci, Sükrü. (2021). Omeprazole physiological based pharmacokinetics model (PBPK) (0.8.0). Zenodo. <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5575750</u>

[22] König2021b, König, Matthias. (2021). sbmlsim: SBML simulation made easy (0.2.2). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5531088

[23] König2021c, König, Matthias. (2021). sbmlutils: Python utilities for SBML (0.5.7). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5546603

[24] König2021d, Matthias König, & Nicolas Rodriguez. (2019). matthiaskoenig/cy3sbml: cy3sbml-v0.3.0 - SBML for Cytoscape (v0.3.0). Zenodo. <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3451319</u>

[25] Kostenis, E., & Mohr, K. (2009). Omeprazol. DMW - Deutsche Medizinische Wochenschrift, 119(34/35), 1173–1174. doi:10.1055/s-0029-1235090

[26] Moore, J. G.; Englert, E. (1970): Circadian rhythm of gastric acid secretion in man. In Nature 226 (5252), pp. 1261–1262. DOI: 10.1038/2261261a0.

[27] Moore, J. G. (1991): Circadian dynamics of gastric acid secretion and pharmacodynamics of H2 receptor blockade. In Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 618, pp. 150–158. DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1991.tb27243.x.

[28] National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (2012): LiverTox: Clinical and Research Information on Drug-Induced Liver Injury. Proton Pump Inhibitors. Bethesda (MD).

[29] Olbe, L.; Lind, T.; Cederberg, C.; Ekenved, G. (1986): Effect of omeprazole on gastric acid secretion in man. In Scandinavian journal of gastroenterology. Supplement 118, pp. 105–107. DOI: 10.3109/00365528609090908.

[30] Olbe, Lars; Carlsson, Enar; Lindberg, Per (2003): A proton-pump inhibitor expedition: the case histories of omeprazole and esomeprazole. In Nature reviews. Drug discovery 2 (2), pp. 132–139. DOI: 10.1038/nrd1010.

[31] Park, Sunny; Hyun, Yang Jin; Kim, Yu Ran; Lee, Ju Hyun; Ryu, Sunae; Kim, Jeong Mi et al. (2017): Effects of CYP2C19 Genetic Polymorphisms on PK/PD Responses of Omeprazole in Korean Healthy Volunteers. In Journal of Korean medical science 32 (5), pp. 729–736. DOI: 10.3346/jkms.2017.32.5.729.

[32] Prichard, P. J.; Yeomans, N. D.; Mihaly, G. W.; Jones, D. B.; Buckle, P. J.; Smallwood, R. A.; Louis, W. J. (1985): Omeprazole: a study of its inhibition of gastric pH and oral pharmacokinetics after morning or evening dosage. In Gastroenterology 88 (1 Pt 1), pp. 64–69. DOI: 10.1016/s0016-5085(85)80133-5.

[33] Regårdh, C. G. (1986): Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of omeprazole in man. In Scandinavian journal of gastroenterology. Supplement 118, pp. 99–104. DOI: 10.3109/00365528609090907.

[34] Regårdh, C. G.; Andersson, T.; Lagerström, P. O.; Lundborg, P.; Skånberg, I. (1990): The pharmacokinetics of omeprazole in humans--a study of single intravenous and oral doses. In Therapeutic drug monitoring 12 (2), pp. 163–172. DOI: 10.1097/00007691-199003000-00010.

[35] Shin, Jai Moo; Kim, Nayoung (2013): Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the proton pump inhibitors. In Journal of neurogastroenterology and motility 19 (1), pp. 25–35. DOI: 10.5056/jnm.2013.19.1.25.

[36] Sohn, D. R.; Kobayashi, K.; Chiba, K.; Lee, K. H.; Shin, S. G.; Ishizaki, T. (1992): Disposition kinetics and metabolism of omeprazole in extensive and poor metabolizers of S-mephenytoin 4'-hydroxylation recruited from an Oriental population. In The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics 262 (3), pp. 1195–1202.

[37] Somogyi, Endre T.; Bouteiller, Jean-Marie; Glazier, James A.; König, Matthias; Medley, J. Kyle; Swat, Maciej H.; Sauro, Herbert M. (2015): libRoadRunner: a high performance SBML simulation and analysis library. In Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 31 (20), pp. 3315–3321. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv363.

[38] Thoma Karl, Bechtold Karoline (2015): Enteric coated hard gelatin capsules, pp. 1–17.

[39] Vanderhoff, Bruce T.; Tahboub, Rundsarah M. (2002): Proton pump inhibitors: an update. In American family physician 66 (2), pp. 273–280.

[40] Vaz-da-Silva, M.; Hainzl, D.; Almeida, L.; Dolgner, A.; Silveira, P.; Maia, J.; Soares-da-Silva, P. (2001): Relative Bioavailability of Two Enteric-Coated Formulations of Omeprazole following Repeated Doses in Healthy Volunteers. In Clinical drug investigation 21 (3), pp. 203–210. DOI: 10.2165/00044011-200121030-00006.

[41] Vaz-da-Silva, Manuel; Loureiro, Ana I.; Nunes, Teresa; Maia, Joana; Tavares, Susana; Falcão, Amilcar et al. (2005): Bioavailability and bioequivalence of two enteric-coated formulations of omeprazole in fasting and fed conditions. In Clinical drug investigation 25 (6), pp. 391–399. DOI: 10.2165/00044011-200525060-00004.

[42] Walt, R. P.; Gomes, M. D.; Wood, E. C.; Logan, L. H.; Pounder, R. E. (1983): Effect of daily oral omeprazole on 24 hour intragastric acidity. In British medical journal (Clinical research ed.) 287 (6384), pp. 12–14. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.287.6384.12.

[43] Walt, R. P.; Reynolds, J. R.; Langman, M. J.; Smart, H. L.; Kitchingman, G.; Somerville, K. W.; Hawkey, C. J. (1985): Intravenous omeprazole rapidly raises intragastric pH. In Gut 26 (9), pp. 902–906. DOI: 10.1136/gut.26.9.902.

#### **6** Supplement

#### Single dosing simulation experiments

#### Regaardh1990

Regaardh1990



Figure 11: Simulation experiment Regaard1990. The concentration of omeprazole over time and the cumulative excretion of metabolites are shown. Solid line represents the prediction and dashed line the data from the clinical study [34].

# Prichard1985



Figure 12: Simulation experiment Prichard1985. The concentration of omeprazole over time is shown in the graph. Solid line represents the prediction and dashed line the data from the clinical study [32].

# Lind1983

Figure 13: Simulation experiment Lind1983. The concentration of omeprazole over time is shown in the graph. Solid line represents the prediction and dashed line the data from the clinical study [19].

#### Landahl1992



Figure 14: Simulation experiment Landahl1992. The concentration of omeprazole over time and the cumulative excretion of metabolites are shown Solid line represents the prediction and dashed line the data from the clinical study [18].

# Walt1985



Figure 15: Simulation experiment Walt1985. The concentration of omeprazole over time is shown in the graph. Solid line represents the prediction and dashed line the data from the clinical study [43].

#### Prichard1985



# Prichard1985

Figure 16: Simulation experiment Prichard1985. The concentration of omeprazole over time is shown in the graph. Solid line represents the prediction and dashed line the data from the clinical study [32].

# VazdaSilva2001



Figure 17: Simulation experiment Vazdasilva2001. The concentration of omeprazole over time is shown in the graph. Solid line represents the prediction and dashed line the data from the clinical study [40].

# VazdaSilva2005



**Figure 18: Simulation experiment Vazdasilva2005.** The concentration of omeprazole over time is shown in the graph. Solid line represents the prediction and dashed line the data from the clinical study [41].

### HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN



#### Lebenswissenschaftliche Fakultät

Institut für Biologie Institut für Psychologie Albrecht Daniel Thaer-Institut für Agrar- und Gartenbauwissenschaften

#### Vorlage für die Eigenständigkeitserklärung (auch Selbständigkeitserklärung) für die Abschlussarbeit

Hiermit erkläre ich, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbständig verfasst habe und sämtliche Quellen, einschließlich Internetquellen, die unverändert oder abgewandelt wiedergegeben werden, insbesondere Quellen für Texte, Grafiken, Tabellen und Bilder, als solche kenntlich gemacht habe.

Ich versichere, dass ich die vorliegende Abschlussarbeit noch nicht für andere Prüfungen eingereicht habe.

Mir ist bekannt, dass bei Verstößen gegen diese Grundsätze ein Verfahren wegen Täuschungsversuchs bzw. Täuschung gemäß der fachspezifischen Prüfungsordnung und/oder der Fächerübergreifenden Satzung zur Regelung von Zulassung, Studium und Prüfung der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin (ZSP-HU) eingeleitet wird.

Ort, Datum, Unterschrift

Berlin, 15.10.2021,